

THE GAUSS-BONNET-CHERN THEOREM: A PROBABILISTIC PERSPECTIVE

LIVIU I. NICOLAESCU AND NIKHIL SAVALE

ABSTRACT. We prove that the Euler form of a metric connection on a real oriented vector bundle E over a compact oriented manifold M can be identified, as a current, with the expectation of the random current defined by the zero-locus of a certain random section of the bundle. We also explain how to reconstruct probabilistically the metric and the connection on E from the statistics of random sections of E .

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. The Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem	1
1.2. Overview of the paper	3
1.3. Related work	8
1.4. Organization of the paper	9
Acknowledgments.	9
2. A finite dimensional integral formula	10
2.1. The setup	10
2.2. The coarea formula	11
2.3. The double fibration trick	12
2.4. Proof of (2.17)	16
3. The white noise limit	21
3.1. Gaussian measures	21
3.2. Probabilistic descriptions of special metrics and connection	21
3.3. Probabilistic reconstruction of the geometry of a vector bundle	25
3.4. Proof of Lemma 3.2.	28
References	33

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem. We begin by recalling the classical Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem [9, 31, 42]. Suppose that $E \rightarrow M$ is a real *oriented* vector bundle of even rank $r = 2h$ over the smooth, compact oriented manifold M of dimension m . Fix a metric $(-, -)_E$ on E and a connection ∇^E compatible with the metric. We denote by F^E

Date: Started March 5, 2014. Completed on March 23, 2014. Last modified on January 19, 2016.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35P20, 53C65, 58J35, 58J40, 58J50, 60D05.

Key words and phrases. Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem, currents, random sections, Gaussian measures, connections, curvature, Euler form, Laplacian, wave kernel asymptotics, heat kernel asymptotics,

the curvature of the connection ∇^E on E . The *Euler form* of (E, ∇^E) is the closed form

$$e(E, \nabla^E) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^h} \mathbf{Pf}(-F^E) \in \Omega^r(M), \quad r = 2h, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\mathbf{Pf}$ denotes the Pfaffian construction, [5, 27, 31]. For the applications we have in mind it is important to have an explicit local description $\mathbf{Pf}(-F^E)$.

If we fix a local, *positively oriented* orthonormal frame $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r$ of E defined on some open set $\mathcal{O} \subset M$, then the curvature F^E is represented by a skew-symmetric $r \times r$ matrix

$$F^E = (F_{\alpha\beta}^E)_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq r}, \quad F_{\alpha\beta}^E \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{O}).$$

If we denote by $\mathcal{S}_r$ the group of permutations of $\{1, \dots, r = 2h\}$, then (see [31, §8.1.4])

$$\mathbf{Pf}(-F^E) = \frac{1}{2^h h!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_r} \epsilon(\sigma) F_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}^E \wedge \dots \wedge F_{\sigma_{2h-1} \sigma_{2h}}^E \in \Omega^{2h}(\mathcal{O}), \quad (1.2)$$

where $\epsilon(\sigma)$ denotes the signature of the permutation $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_r$.

Suppose additionally that we have local coordinates $(x^1, \dots, x^m)$ on $\mathcal{O}$. For $1 \leq \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \leq r$ and $1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq m$ we set

$$F_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 | j_1 j_2}^E := F_{i_1 i_2}^E(\partial_{x^{j_1}}, \partial_{x^{j_2}}). \quad (1.3)$$

Denote by $\mathcal{S}'_r$ the subset of $\mathcal{S}_r$ consisting of permutations $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{2h})$ such that

$$\sigma_1 < \sigma_2, \sigma_3 < \sigma_4, \dots, \sigma_{2h-1} < \sigma_{2h}.$$

We deduce from (1.2) that

$$\mathbf{Pf}(-F^E)(\partial_{x^1}, \dots, \partial_{x^r}) = \frac{1}{h!} \sum_{\varphi, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}'_r} \epsilon(\sigma \varphi) F_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 | \varphi_1 \varphi_2}^E \dots F_{\sigma_{2h-1} \sigma_{2h} | \varphi_{2h-1} \varphi_{2h}}^E. \quad (1.4)$$

We denote by $\Omega_k(M)$ the space of k -dimensional currents on M , i.e., the topological dual of the space $\Omega^k(M)$ of smooth k -forms on M . By definition, we have a pairing

$$\langle -, - \rangle : \Omega^k(M) \times \Omega_k(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (\eta, C) \mapsto \langle \eta, C \rangle.$$

The orientation of M defines a natural Poincaré duality map

$$\Omega^{m-k}(M) \ni \omega \mapsto \omega^\dagger \in \Omega_k(M), \quad \langle \eta, \omega^\dagger \rangle := \int_M \eta \wedge \omega, \quad \forall \eta \in \Omega^k(M).$$

Given $\omega \in \Omega^{m-k}(M)$ we will refer to $\omega^\dagger \in \Omega_k(M)$ as the *current determined by the form* ω . By duality we obtain a boundary map

$$\partial : \Omega_k(M) \rightarrow \Omega_{k-1}(M), \quad \langle \eta, \partial C \rangle := \langle d\eta, C \rangle, \quad \forall C \in \Omega_k(M), \quad \eta \in \Omega^{k-1}(M).$$

A current C is called closed if $\partial C = 0$.

A generic section $\mathbf{u}$ of E is transversal to the zero section, $\mathbf{u} \pitchfork 0$, and its zero locus is a smooth submanifold $Z_{\mathbf{u}} \subset M$ of dimension $m - r$ equipped with a natural orientation. The integration along this oriented submanifold defines a closed current $[Z_{\mathbf{u}}] \in \Omega_{m-r}(M)$.

The Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem states that, for a generic section $\mathbf{u}$, the $(m - r)$ -dimensional closed currents $[Z_{\mathbf{u}}]$ and the Poincaré dual $e(E, \nabla^E)^\dagger$ are homologous, i.e.,

$$\forall \mathbf{u} \in C^\infty(E) : \quad \mathbf{u} \pitchfork 0 \Rightarrow [Z_{\mathbf{u}}] - e(E, \nabla^E)^\dagger \in \partial \Omega_{m-r-1}(M). \quad (1.5)$$

In view of DeRham's theorem [13, §22, Thm. 17'], this is equivalent with the statement

$$\forall \mathbf{u} \in C^\infty(E), \quad \mathbf{u} \pitchfork 0 \Rightarrow \langle \eta, [Z_{\mathbf{u}}] \rangle = \int_M \eta \wedge e(E, \nabla^E), \quad \forall \eta \in \Omega^r(M), \quad d\eta = 0. \quad (1.6)$$

Remark 1.1. There exist more refined versions of (1.5) which explicitly describe locally integrable forms $T = T(\mathbf{u}, \nabla^E)$ such that we have the equality of currents

$$[Z_{\mathbf{u}}] - e(E, \nabla^E) = dT(\mathbf{u}, \nabla^E).$$

For details we refer to [5, 21, 27]. $\square$

1.2. Overview of the paper. The first goal of this paper is to provide a probabilistic proof and a refinement of (1.6). Let us first observe that if $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ are two generic smooth sections of E , then the corresponding currents are homologous, i.e.,

$$[Z_{\mathbf{u}}] - [Z_{\mathbf{v}}] \in \partial\Omega_{m-r-1}(M) \iff \langle \eta, [Z_{\mathbf{u}}] \rangle = \langle \eta, [Z_{\mathbf{v}}] \rangle, \quad \forall \eta \in \Omega^{m-r}(M), \quad d\eta = 0.$$

This shows that if $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ are generic sections of E and $p_1, \dots, p_n$ are positive weights such that $p_1 + \dots + p_n = 1$, then the average

$$p_1[Z_{\mathbf{u}_1}] + \dots + p_n[Z_{\mathbf{u}_n}]$$

is a closed current homologous to each of the currents $[Z_{\mathbf{u}_k}]$.

More generally, if $\mathbf{P}$ is a probability measure on $C^\infty(E)$ such that $\mathbf{P}$ -almost surely a section $\mathbf{u}$ intersects the zero section transversally, then the expected current

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{P}}([Z_{\mathbf{u}}]) := \int [Z_{\mathbf{u}}] \mathbf{P}(d\mathbf{u})$$

is a current *homologous to* the current defined by the zero locus of any generic section $\mathbf{u}_0$, i.e.,

$$\int \langle \eta, [Z_{\mathbf{u}}] \rangle \mathbf{P}(d\mathbf{u}) = \langle \eta, [Z_{\mathbf{u}_0}] \rangle, \quad \forall \eta \in \Omega^{m-r}(M), \quad d\eta = 0. \quad (1.7)$$

An *ensemble* of sections of E is a pair $(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{P})$, where $\mathbf{U} \subset C^\infty(E)$ is a finite dimensional space and $\mathbf{P}$ is a probability measure on $\mathbf{U}$. The first main result of this paper shows that there exists a large supply of ensembles $(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{P})$ such that

- a section $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{U}$ is $\mathbf{P}$ -almost surely transversal to the zero section, and
- there exist a metric $(-, -)_E$ and a connection ∇^E , compatible with $(-, -)_E$ such that the expected current $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{P}}([Z_{\mathbf{u}}])$ is *equal to* the current determined by the Euler form $e(E, \nabla^E)$, i.e.,

$$\langle \eta, \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{P}}([Z_{\mathbf{u}}]) \rangle = \int_{\mathbf{U}} \langle \eta, [Z_{\mathbf{u}}] \rangle \mathbf{P}(d\mathbf{u}) = \int_M \eta \wedge e(E, \nabla^E), \quad \forall \eta \in \Omega^{m-r}(M).$$

We will refer to an ensemble $(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{P})$ with the above properties as *adapted to the metric* $(-, -)_E$ *and the connection* ∇^E . In the sequel, we will refer to a pair consisting of a metric on a vector bundle and a connection compatible with it as a *(metric, connection)-pair*. The first step in our program is to produce a large supply of examples of (metric, connection)-pairs for which we can *explicitly* construct adapted ensembles $(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{P})$.

Fix a finite dimensional real vector space $\mathbf{U}$ equipped with a Euclidean inner product $(-, -)_{\mathbf{U}}$. We form the trivial real vector bundle

$$\underline{\mathbf{U}}_M := \mathbf{U} \times M \rightarrow M.$$

Assume that $E \rightarrow M$ is an oriented subbundle of rank r of $\underline{\mathbf{U}}_M$. The metric $(-, -)_{\mathbf{U}}$ on $\mathbf{U}$ induces a metric $(-, -)_E$ on E . For each $\mathbf{x} \in M$ we denote by $P_{\mathbf{x}}$ the orthogonal projection $\mathbf{U} \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{x}}$. The trivial connection d on $\underline{\mathbf{U}}_M$ induces a connection $\nabla^E = Pd$ on E . We will call *special* a (metric, connection)-pair $((-, -)_E, \nabla^E)$ constructed as above, via an embedding of E in a trivial vector bundle equipped with a trivial metric and the trivial connection.

Any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{U}$ defines a section $S_{\mathbf{u}}^E$ of E given by

$$S_{\mathbf{u}}^E(\mathbf{x}) = P_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{u}, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in M.$$

We thus get a linear map $S^E : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow C^\infty(E)$, $\mathbf{u} \mapsto S_{\mathbf{u}}^E$, whose range is the finite dimensional space

$$\widehat{\mathbf{U}} := \{ S_{\mathbf{u}}^E; \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{U} \} \subset C^\infty(E).$$

The metric on $\mathbf{U}$ induces a Gaussian probability measure on $\mathbf{U}$; see (3.1). Its pushforward by S^E is a Gaussian probability measure $\gamma_{\mathbf{U}}$ on $\widehat{\mathbf{U}} \subset C^\infty(E)$.

Theorem 2.1(i) shows that, $\gamma_{\mathbf{U}}$ -almost surely, a section $\hat{\mathbf{u}} \in \widehat{\mathbf{U}}$ intersects transversally the zero section of E . We denote by $[Z_{\hat{\mathbf{u}}}]$ the current of integration defined by zero locus of $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$.

The key integral formula (2.1) in Theorem 2.1 shows that the expectation of the random current $[Z_{\hat{\mathbf{u}}}]$ is equal to the current determined by $e(E, \nabla^E)$, i.e.,

$$\langle \eta, \mathbf{E}_{\gamma_{\mathbf{U}}}([Z_{\hat{\mathbf{u}}}] \rangle = \int_{\widehat{\mathbf{U}}} \langle \eta, [Z_{\hat{\mathbf{u}}}] \rangle \gamma_{\mathbf{U}}(d\hat{\mathbf{u}}) = \int_M \eta \wedge e(E, \nabla^E), \quad \forall \eta \in \Omega^{m-r}(M). \quad (1.8)$$

In other words, the ensemble $(\widehat{\mathbf{U}}, \gamma_{\mathbf{U}})$ is adapted to the special pair $((-, -)_E, \nabla^E)$.

Remark 1.2. As explained in [5, 21, 27], there are many natural ways to *explicitly* associate to each section $\mathbf{u} \in C^\infty(E)$, and any connection ∇ on E compatible with $(-, -)_E$, a locally integrable form $T(\mathbf{u}, \nabla)$ of degree $(2h - 1)$ on M satisfying the equality of currents

$$[Z_{\mathbf{u}}] - e(E, \nabla) = dT(\mathbf{u}, \nabla). \quad (1.9)$$

Such an equality generalizes the Poincaré-Lelong formula in complex analysis and it clearly implies (1.5).

Let $\widehat{\mathbf{U}}$, $\gamma_{\mathbf{U}}$, $(-, -)_E$ and ∇^E be as in (1.8). Averaging (1.9) over $\mathbf{u} \in \widehat{\mathbf{U}}$ with respect to the measure $\gamma_{\mathbf{U}}$ we deduce from (1.8) that

$$\int_{\widehat{\mathbf{U}}} dT(\mathbf{u}, \nabla) \gamma_{\mathbf{U}}(d\mathbf{u}) = \int_{\widehat{\mathbf{U}}} ([Z_{\mathbf{u}}] - e(E, \nabla)) \gamma_{\mathbf{U}}(d\mathbf{u}) = e(E, \nabla^E) - e(E, \nabla).$$

In particular, when $\nabla = \nabla^E$ we have

$$\int_{\widehat{\mathbf{U}}} dT(\mathbf{u}, \nabla^E) \gamma_{\mathbf{U}}(d\mathbf{u}) = 0. \quad (1.10)$$

Conversely, the equality (1.10) implies (1.8). One could then be tempted to prove (1.8) by proving a stronger version of (1.10), namely

$$\int_{\widehat{\mathbf{U}}} T(\mathbf{u}, \nabla^E) \gamma_{\mathbf{U}}(d\mathbf{u}) = 0. \quad (1.11)$$

The complexity of the description of the transgression form $T(\mathbf{u}, \nabla^E)$ has discouraged us from attempting to verify the validity of (1.11). We have instead opted on a different approach based on the double-fibration trick frequently used in integral geometry. $\square$

Obviously, the equality (1.8) implies (1.6) for special (metric, connection)-pairs on E . Since the Euler form is gauge invariant, we see that (1.8) is valid if we replace the special connection ∇^E with a connection that is gauge equivalent to it. Here the gauge group is the group of orientation preserving, metric preserving automorphisms of E . On the other hand, we have the following result.

Proposition 1.3. *Any (metric, connection)-pair (σ, ∇) on an oriented vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ is gauge equivalent to a special pair.*

Proof. The proof is carried out in two steps.

1. The pullback of a special (metric, connection)-pair is a special (metric connection)-pair. Suppose that (σ, ∇) is a special (metric, connection)-pair on the subbundle $E \rightarrow M$ of the trivial bundle $\underline{U}_M$.

If X is a smooth manifold and $\Phi : X \rightarrow M$ is a smooth map, then we get a vector bundle Φ^*E over X equipped with the metric $\Phi^*\sigma$ and the compatible connection $\Phi^*\nabla$. The bundle Φ^*E is a subbundle of the trivial vector bundle

$$\Phi^*\underline{U}_M = \underline{U}_X$$

equipped with the trivial metric. Then $\Phi^*\sigma$ is the induced metric on Φ^*E as a subbundle of the metric bundle $\underline{U}_X$ and $\Phi^*\nabla$ is the connection induced via orthogonal projection from the trivial connection on $\underline{U}_X$.

2. Consider the Grassmannian $\mathbf{Gr}_r^+(U)$ of r -dimensional oriented subspaces of U . Denote by $\mathcal{T}_r(U) \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_r^+(U)$ the associated tautological oriented vector bundle. A metric h on U induces a metric σ_h , and a compatible connection ∇^h on $\mathcal{T}_r(U)$. The pair (σ_h, ∇^h) is special.

In [28, Thm. 1, 2] Narasimhan and Ramanan have shown that for any smooth, real oriented vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ and any (metric, connection)-pair (σ, ∇) on M there exists a finite dimensional Euclidean space (U, h) and a smooth map $\Phi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_r^+(U)$ such that

$$E = \Phi^*\mathcal{T}_r(U), \quad \sigma = \Phi^*\sigma_h \quad (1.12)$$

and the connection ∇ is gauge equivalent to $\Phi^*\nabla^h$. We will refer to such maps as *Narasimhan-Ramanan maps*. $\square$

Putting together all of the above we obtain the first main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose that $E \rightarrow M$ is a smooth real oriented vector bundle of rank $r = 2h$ over a smooth compact oriented manifold M of dimension m . For any metric σ on E and any connection ∇ on E compatible with σ there exists a finite dimensional subspace $\hat{U} \subset C^\infty(E)$ and a Gaussian measure γ on $\hat{U}$ such that, γ -almost surely, a section $\hat{u} \in \hat{U}$ is transversal to the zero section and the expectation of the random zero-locus-cycle*

$$\hat{U} \ni \hat{u} \mapsto [Z_{\hat{u}}] \in \Omega_{m-r}(M)$$

is equal to the current determined by the Euler form of ∇ . $\square$

Clearly the above result implies the classical Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem, but it has a glaring æsthetic flaw since it gives no idea on the nature of the ensemble $(\hat{U}, \gamma_U)$. Its relationship to the geometry of (E, σ, ∇) is hidden in the details of the proofs of [28, Thm. 1, 2]. Those proofs show that, to produce such an ensemble, we need to make several noncanonical choices: a choice of a gluing cocycle for E and a choice of a collection of locally defined $so(n)$ -valued 1-forms describing ∇ . The dependence of $(\hat{U}, \gamma_U)$ on these choices is nebulous.

The second goal of the paper is to address this issue. To formulate our second main result we need to describe an alternate way of producing special (metric, connection)-pairs.

Suppose that $U \rightarrow C^\infty(E)$ is a finite dimensional space of sections of E large enough so that it satisfies the *ampleness condition*

$$\text{span}\{u(x); u \in U\} = E_x, \quad \forall x \in M. \quad (1.13)$$

In particular, for every $x \in M$, the evaluation map

$$\text{ev}_x : U \rightarrow E_x, \quad u \mapsto \text{ev}_x u := u(x)$$

is onto, so that its dual $\mathbf{ev}_x^* : E_x^* \rightarrow U^*$ is one-to-one. Thus, the dual bundle E^* is naturally a subbundle of $\underline{U}_M^*$.

If we fix an inner product $(-, -)_U$ on U , then we can identify U with U^* and we can view E as a subbundle of the trivial bundle $\underline{U}_M$. Fixing a Euclidean metric on U is equivalent with fixing a nondegenerate Gaussian probability measure γ_U on U ; see Subsection 3.1. This discussion shows that to any nondegenerate Gaussian probability measure on an ample subspace $U \subset C^\infty(E)$ we can canonically associate a special (metric, connection)-pair on E .

Definition 1.5. A *sample subspace* of $C^\infty(E)$ is a pair (U, γ) , where $U \subset C^\infty(E)$ is an ample finite dimensional subspace and γ is a nondegenerate Gaussian measure on U . The space U is called the *support* of the sample space. $\square$

Thus, to any sample subspace (U, γ) of $C^\infty(E)$ we can associate a special (metric, connection)-pair on E . Theorem 2.1 shows that the expectation of the random current defined by the zero-locus of a random $u \in U$ is equal to the current determined by the Euler form of the associated special (metric, connection)-pair.

In Theorem 3.1 we show that any (metric, connection)-pair (σ_0, ∇^0) on E can be approximated in a rather *explicit* fashion by special (metric, connection)-pairs associated to sample subspaces canonically and explicitly determined by (σ_0, ∇^0) .

More precisely, in Theorem 3.1 we produce *explicitly* a family of sample spaces $(U_\varepsilon, \gamma_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ with associated special (metric, connection)-pairs $(\sigma_\varepsilon, \nabla^\varepsilon)$ satisfying the following properties.

$$\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 \Rightarrow U_{\varepsilon_1} \supset U_{\varepsilon_2}, \quad (1.14a)$$

$$\bigcup_{\varepsilon>0} U_\varepsilon \text{ is dense in } C^\infty(E), \quad (1.14b)$$

$$\|\sigma_\varepsilon - \sigma_0\|_{C^0} = o(1), \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad (1.14c)$$

$$\|\nabla^\varepsilon - \nabla^0\|_{L^{1,p}} + \|F^\varepsilon - F^0\|_{C^0} = o(1) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall p \in (1, \infty) \quad (1.14d)$$

where $L^{1,p}$ denotes the Sobolev space of distributions with first order derivatives in L^p while F^ε denotes the curvature of ∇^ε .

For each ε , the sample space U_ε produces a smooth map $\Psi_\varepsilon : M \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_r^+(U_\varepsilon)$. If $\widehat{\nabla}^\varepsilon$ denotes the canonical connection of the tautological vector bundle over $\mathbf{Gr}_r^+(U_\varepsilon)$, then

$$\Psi_\varepsilon^* \widehat{\nabla}^\varepsilon = \nabla^\varepsilon.$$

Theorem 3.1 shows that $\Psi_\varepsilon^* \widehat{\nabla}^\varepsilon$ is very close to ∇^0 for ε small. From this perspective we can view Theorem 3.1 as providing a probabilistic construction of approximate Narasimhan-Ramanan maps; see (1.12).

Let us observe that Theorem 3.1 also implies the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem for the pair (σ_0, ∇^0) , but without appealing to the results of Narasimhan and Ramanan [28]. Indeed, (1.8) implies that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $\eta \in \Omega^{n-r}(M)$ we have

$$\int_{U_\varepsilon} \langle \eta, [Z_u] \rangle_{\gamma_\varepsilon} (du) = \int_M \eta \wedge e(E, \nabla^\varepsilon) \iff \mathbf{E}([Z_u] | u \in U_\varepsilon) = e(E, \nabla^\varepsilon)^\dagger.$$

We let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and we conclude from (1.14d) that,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{U_\varepsilon} \langle \eta, [Z_u] \rangle_{\gamma_\varepsilon} (du) = \int_M \eta \wedge e(E, \nabla^0), \quad \forall \eta \in \Omega^{n-r}(M). \quad (1.15)$$

On the other hand, (1.7) shows that for any generic section $\mathbf{u}_0$ of E , any *closed* form $\eta \in \Omega^{m-r}(M)$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\langle \eta, [Z_{\mathbf{u}_0}] \rangle = \int_{U_\varepsilon} \langle \eta, [Z_{\mathbf{u}}] \rangle \gamma_\varepsilon(d\mathbf{u}).$$

As we have mentioned earlier, the spaces U_ε can be constructed *explicitly*. We were led to these spaces guided by probabilistic ideas, but they can be given a purely analytic description. In either interpretation, these spaces depend on two additional choices.

The first choice is a *Riemann metric* g on M . Form the covariant Laplacian $\Delta_0 = (\nabla^0)^* \nabla^0 : C^\infty(E) \rightarrow C^\infty(E)$. It has a discrete spectrum

$$\text{spec}(\Delta_0) = \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$$

Let $(\Psi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a complete orthonormal family of $L^2(E)$ consisting of eigensections of Δ_0 ,

$$\Delta_0 \Psi_n = \lambda_n \Psi_n.$$

Our first candidate for the approximating family U_ε is defined by

$$U_\varepsilon := \text{span} \left\{ \Psi_n; \lambda_n \leq \varepsilon^{-2} \right\}.$$

As metric σ_ε on U_ε we use the $L^2(E)$ -inner product rescaled by the factor ε^m . The family $(U_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0}$ satisfied (1.14a) and (1.14b) and with a little work it can be shown that is also satisfies (1.14c). However, proving that this family of sample spaces also satisfies (1.14d) is fraught with many technical difficulties. To avoid them we need to tweak this approach.

Let $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the characteristic function of the interval $[-1, 1]$. Observe that U_ε can alternatively be defined as the range of the smoothing operator $\chi(\varepsilon \sqrt{\Delta_0})$. We now make our second choice and we *fix a compactly supported, smooth, even function* $w : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $w(0) > 0$. Intuitively, we think of w as a smooth approximation for χ . For any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have a smoothing operator

$$W_\varepsilon := w(\varepsilon \sqrt{\Delta_0}) : L^2(E) \rightarrow L^2(E).$$

The operator W_ε is symmetric, nonnegative definite and has finite dimensional range $U_\varepsilon := \text{Range } W_\varepsilon$. Clearly the family $(U_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0}$ satisfies (1.14a) and (1.14b). In particular, this shows that U_ε is ample if ε is sufficiently small.

The space U_ε is also a W_ε -invariant subspace of $L^2(E)$ and the restriction of W_ε to U_ε is invertible because $w(0) \neq 0$. The Gaussian measure γ_ε is then defined by

$$\gamma_\varepsilon(d\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det 2\pi W_\varepsilon}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(W_\varepsilon^{-1} \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})_0} |d\mathbf{u}|_0,$$

where $(-, -)_0$ denotes the L^2 -inner product on U_ε and $|d\mathbf{u}|_0$ denotes the associated Lebesgue measure. In Theorem 3.1 we prove that the family of sample spaces $(U_\varepsilon, \gamma_\varepsilon)$ defined in this fashion satisfy all the properties (1.14a)-(1.14d).

The sample space $(U_\varepsilon, \gamma_\varepsilon)$ as defined above has a simple probabilistic interpretation. A random section $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \in U_\varepsilon$ is a random linear superposition

$$\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon = \sum_n X_n^\varepsilon \Psi_n, \tag{1.16}$$

where the coefficients X_n^ε are independent normal random variables with mean 0 and variances

$$\text{var}(X_n^\varepsilon) = w(\varepsilon \sqrt{\lambda_n}).$$

The correlation kernel of the random section $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon$ coincides with the Schwartz kernel of W_ε , and the connection of E determined by the Gaussian ensemble $(\mathbf{U}_\varepsilon, \gamma_\varepsilon)$ is a special case of the L - W connection in [16, Prop. 1.1.1]. We provide probabilistic descriptions of this connection and its curvature in Subsection 3.2. These descriptions play a key role in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Note that for any given ε we have $w(\varepsilon\sqrt{\lambda_n}) = 0$ if n is sufficiently large so that the sum (1.16) consists of finitely many terms. If $w = 1$ in a neighborhood of 0, then as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the above random linear superposition formally converges to a random series

$$\sum_n X_n^0 \Psi_n,$$

where the coefficients X_n^0 are independent standard normal random variables. This is very similar to the classical scalar white noise. In fact, as explained in [19], the above series converges in the sense of distributions to a generalized Gaussian random process called white noise. For this reason we will refer to the $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ limit as the white-noise limit. Thus, the differential geometry of (E, σ_0, ∇^0) is determined by the white-noise approximation regime defined by the family of random sections $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Observe also that the equality (1.15) has the following nice consequence.

Corollary 1.6.

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \mathbf{E}([Z_{\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon}]) = e(E, \nabla^E)^\dagger. \quad \square$$

1.3. Related work. The results in this paper take place on real manifolds and real vector bundles and deal with two themes: the distribution of zeros of random sections and the connections between the statistics of such sections and the geometry of the bundle.

This line of investigation originates in the groundbreaking work of M. Kac [23] and S.O. Rice [37] who studied the distribution of zeros of certain random functions of one real variable. One outcome of their work is the celebrated Kac-Rice formula that gives an explicit description of the expected distribution of zeros of such functions; see [15].

As explained e.g. in [15, 40], the Kac-Rice formula has an extension to complex valued random functions of one complex variable that can be used to study the distribution of complex zeros of various ensembles of random complex polynomials. One such ensemble is obtained by regarding the degree d polynomials in one complex variable as holomorphic sections of the degree d holomorphic line bundle over $\mathbb{CP}^1$. If the ensemble of sections is unitarily equivariant, then the expected distribution of zeros approaches the uniform (invariant) distribution on $\mathbb{CP}^1$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$; see [15, §8]. The same type of equidistribution phenomenon was observed in the pioneering work of S. Nonnenmaker and A. Voros [35] where, among many other things, the authors studied the distributions of zeros of random holomorphic sections of holomorphic line bundles over elliptic curves.

In the holomorphic context, the inherent rigidity allows for more precise conclusions in arbitrary dimensions. Chapter 5 of the monograph [26] by X. Ma and G. Marinescu contains a very nice exposition of these developments. We mention below a few of them.

In [41], Schiffman and Zelditch have investigated random holomorphic sections of L^n , $n \gg 1$, where L is an ample hermitian holomorphic line bundle L over a compact Kähler manifold M . Our Corollary 1.6 has the same flavor as [41, Thm. 1.1]; see also [26, Thm.5.3.3].

The large n limit is conceptually similar to the white noise limit we employ in this paper although the technical details are quite different. G. Tian [45] and W.-D. Ruan [39] have shown how to use the ensemble of holomorphic sections of L^n , $n \gg 1$, to produce C^∞ -approximations of the curvature of L . D. Catlin [8] and S. Zelditch [49] gave alternate proofs

of this fact where the probabilistic features are easier to glean. Our proof of Theorem 3.1 is similar in spirit to theirs.

In the last few years there has been a flurry of work, e.g., [10, 11, 12, 14], concerning the statistics of the zero sets of random holomorphic sections of L^n in the case when M is noncompact/singular.

There are fewer similar results for real manifolds, and they are typically harder to come by. This should come as no surprise since even the simplest problem, that of counting the number of real roots of a polynomial, is tricky. We want to mention a few noteworthy contributions.

The first is the work of R. Adler and J. Taylor [1] on the curvature measures of the zero sets of random maps from a manifold to a real vector space. The second is the (ongoing) investigation of F. Nazarov and M. Sodin [29, 30] concerning the topology of the zero set of a random function on a manifold. Finally, we want to mention the recent work of A. Lerario and E. Lundberg [25] on a probabilistic version of Hilbert's 16th problem.

The original one-dimensional Kac-Rice formula admits wide ranging higher-dimensional generalizations, [1, 4]. In [34] the first author used such a general Kac-Rice formula to extend Theorem 2.1 to arbitrary Gaussian ensembles of random sections, that is, arbitrary Gaussian measures on $C^\infty(E)$, not necessarily supported on finite dimensional sample spaces.

In Theorem 3.1 we produce only C^0 -approximations of the curvature of the vector bundle. However, in the special case when $E = TM$, σ_0 is a Riemannian metric on M and ∇^0 is the associated Levi-Civita connection, then the results in [3, 36] imply that (1.14c) can be refined to a C^∞ -convergence of σ_ε to the Riemann metric σ_0 .

In [33] the first author has investigated critical sets of random functions on a compact Riemann manifold. The critical points of functions are zeros of rather special sections of the cotangent bundles, namely zeros of exact 1-forms. In [33, Thm.1.7] it was shown that the geometry of a Riemann manifold is determined by the statistics of the differentials of random functions on it. This is similar in flavor with Theorem 3.1 in the present paper. However [33, Thm.1.7] does not follow from the apparently more general Theorem 3.1 in this paper.

1.4. Organization of the paper. The main body of the paper consists of two sections. In Section 2 we prove our main integral formula Theorem 2.1 which states that if (U, γ) is a sample space of $C^\infty(E)$, then the expectation of the zero-locus-current of a random section $u \in U$ is equal to the current determined by the Euler form of the special connection on E induced by this sample space. The proof relies on the ubiquitous double-fibration trick. We evaluate the various intervening integrals using the theory of orthogonal invariants like in Weyl's proof of his tube formula [48].

Section 3 contains the proof of our reconstruction result, Theorem 3.1. It boils down to a detailed understanding of the Schwartz kernel of the smoothing operator $w(\varepsilon\sqrt{\Delta_0})$.

We approach this problem using the wave kernel technique pioneered by L. Hörmander [22]. The fact that our operators are not scalar makes the identification of various terms in the asymptotic expansion of this kernel more challenging. We achieve this by gradually reducing the computation of these terms to the special case involving the heat kernel. The estimate (1.14d) is trickier and follows using a method reminiscent to the one employed by K. Uhlenbeck in [46].

Acknowledgments. We want to thank the anonymous referee for the helpful comments and critique.

2. A FINITE DIMENSIONAL INTEGRAL FORMULA

2.1. The setup. Suppose that M is a compact oriented smooth manifold of dimension m and $E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a real, oriented vector bundle of even rank $r = 2h$. We fix a finite dimensional space $\mathbf{U} \subset C^\infty(E)$,

$$\dim \mathbf{U} = N.$$

Any $\mathbf{x} \in M$ defines a linear evaluation map

$$\mathbf{ev}_{\mathbf{x}} : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{x}}, \quad \mathbf{U} \ni \mathbf{u} \mapsto \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}).$$

We assume that $\mathbf{U}$ satisfies the ampleness condition (1.13). The dual map $\mathbf{ev}_{\mathbf{x}}^* : E_{\mathbf{x}}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{U}^*$ is an injection and the family $(\mathbf{ev}_{\mathbf{x}}^*)_{\mathbf{x} \in M}$ describes an inclusion of E^* as a subbundle of the trivial vector bundle $\underline{\mathbf{U}}_M^*$.

We fix an Euclidean metric $(-, -)_{\mathbf{U}}$ on $\mathbf{U}$. It induces a metric $(-, -)_{\mathbf{U}^*}$ on $\mathbf{U}^*$. The inclusion

$$\mathbf{ev}^* : E^* \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{U}}_M^*$$

induces a metric $(-, -)_{E^*}$ on the bundle E^* and, by duality, a metric $(-, -)_E$ on E .

The evaluation map $\mathbf{ev}_{\mathbf{x}} : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{x}}$ can be identified with the orthogonal projection. To emphasize this aspect, we will use the alternate notation $P = P_{\mathbf{x}} := \mathbf{ev}_{\mathbf{x}}$. We also set $Q = Q_{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbb{1} - P_{\mathbf{x}}$.

If we choose an orthonormal basis $(\Psi_k)_{1 \leq k \leq N}$ of $\mathbf{U}$, then we can describe the projection $P_{\mathbf{x}}$ in the concrete form

$$P_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} = \sum_{k=1}^N (\mathbf{u}, \Psi_k)_{\mathbf{U}} \Psi_k(\mathbf{x}).$$

Let us point a confusing fact. A *fixed* vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{U}$ can be viewed as a constant section of the trivial bundle $\underline{\mathbf{U}}_M$ and also, by definition, as a section of E . As such it is given by the smooth map

$$S_{\mathbf{u}}^E : M \rightarrow \mathbf{U}, \quad S_{\mathbf{u}}^E(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{ev}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} = P_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}.$$

We denote by K the subbundle of $\underline{\mathbf{U}}_M$ defined by the kernels of the above projections, $K := \ker P$. Note that

$$E = K^\perp, \quad E \oplus K \cong \underline{\mathbf{U}}_M = \mathbf{U} \times M.$$

If we denote by d the trivial connection on $\underline{\mathbf{U}}_M$, then we obtain a connection on ∇^E on E compatible with the metric $(-, -)_E$,

$$\nabla^E := P d P.$$

We denote by F^E the curvature of the connection ∇^E on E and by $e(E, \nabla^E)$ the associated Euler form defined as in (1.1)

$$e(E, \nabla^E) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^h} \mathbf{Pf}(-F^E) \in \Omega^r(M), \quad r = 2h.$$

If a section $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{U}$ is transversal to the zero section, $\mathbf{u} \pitchfork 0$, then its zero set

$$Z_{\mathbf{u}} := \{ \mathbf{x} \in M; \quad \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \}$$

is a compact submanifold of M of codimension r . We denote by $T_{Z_{\mathbf{u}}} M$ its normal bundle in M ,

$$T_{Z_{\mathbf{u}}} M := TM|_{Z_{\mathbf{u}}} / T Z_{\mathbf{u}}.$$

Given any connection ∇ on E we obtain a linear map

$$\nabla_{\bullet} \mathbf{u} : (TM)|_{Z_{\mathbf{u}}} \rightarrow E|_{Z_{\mathbf{u}}}$$

which vanishes along $TZ_{\mathbf{u}}$ and thus induces a bundle morphism

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{u}} : TZ_{\mathbf{u}}M \rightarrow E|_{Z_{\mathbf{u}}}$$

that is independent of the choice of ∇ . We will refer to $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{u}}$ as the *adjunction morphism*.

The transversality $\mathbf{u} \pitchfork 0$ is equivalent to the fact that $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{u}}$ is a bundle isomorphism. The orientation on E induces via the adjunction morphism an orientation in the normal bundle $(TM)|_{Z_{\mathbf{u}}}$ and thus an orientation on $Z_{\mathbf{u}}$ uniquely determined by the requirement

$$\text{orientation } TM|_{Z_{\mathbf{u}}} = \text{orientation } (Z_{\mathbf{u}}) \wedge \text{orientation } (TZ_{\mathbf{u}}M).$$

Let us point out that since $Z_{\mathbf{u}}$ has *even* codimension we have

$$\text{orientation } (Z_{\mathbf{u}}) \wedge \text{orientation } (TZ_{\mathbf{u}}M) = \text{orientation } (TZ_{\mathbf{u}}M) \wedge \text{orientation } (Z_{\mathbf{u}}).$$

We denote by $[Z_{\mathbf{u}}] \in \Omega_{m-r}(M)$ the integration current defined by the submanifold $Z_{\mathbf{u}}$ equipped with the above orientation.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a real oriented, smooth vector bundle of rank $r = 2h$ over the compact oriented smooth manifold M . Fix a subspace $\mathbf{U} \subset C^\infty(E)$ of dimension $\dim \mathbf{U} = N < \infty$ satisfying the ampleness condition (1.13). Fix an Euclidean inner product $(-, -)_{\mathbf{U}}$ on $\mathbf{U}$ and denote by $\gamma_{\mathbf{U}}$ the Gaussian measure on $\mathbf{U}$ determined by this inner product,*

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{U}}(d\mathbf{u}) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2}} d\mathbf{u}.$$

Then the following hold.

- (i) *A section $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{U}$ almost surely intersects transversally the zero section of E and thus we obtain a random current*

$$\mathbf{U} \ni \mathbf{u} \mapsto [Z_{\mathbf{u}}] \in \Omega_{m-r}(M).$$

- (ii) *The expectation of this random current is the current determined by the Euler form $e(E, \nabla^E)$*

$$\mathbf{E}_{\gamma_{\mathbf{U}}}([Z_{\mathbf{u}}]) = e(E, \nabla^E)^\dagger.$$

More precisely,

$$\int_{\mathbf{U}} \langle \eta, [Z_{\mathbf{u}}] \rangle d\gamma_{\mathbf{U}}(d\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{r}{2}}} \int_M \eta \wedge \mathbf{Pf}(-F^E), \quad \forall \eta \in \Omega^{m-r}(M). \quad (2.1)$$

The proof of the the integral formula (2.1) is based on Gelfand's double fibration trick, [2, 18]. Its formulation relies on two versions of the coarea formula. We describe these versions below.

2.2. The coarea formula. Suppose that X, Y are *oriented* smooth manifolds of dimensions

$$\dim X = N \geq n = \dim Y.$$

Assume further that we are given a smooth map $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$. For any regular value $y \in Y$ of π the fiber $X_y := \pi^{-1}(y)$ is a smooth submanifold of X of codimension n and its conormal bundle $T_{X_y}^*X$ is naturally isomorphic with $\pi^*T^*Y|_{X_y}$ and thus it has a natural orientation. We orient X_y using the *fiber-first convention*, i.e.,

$$\text{orientation } (X) = \text{orientation } (X_y) \wedge \text{orientation } T_{X_y}^*X.$$

Suppose that $\omega_Y \in \Omega^n(Y)$ is a volume form on Y , i.e., a nowhere vanishing top-degree form on Y . Fix a smooth function $\rho_Y : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a form $\eta \in \Omega^{N-n}(X)$ such that

$$-\infty < \int_{X_y} \eta < \infty$$

for any regular value y of π . Sard's theorem implies that y is a regular value of π for almost all $y \in Y$.

The first version of the coarea formula states that the function

$$Y \ni y \mapsto \int_{X_y} \eta \in \mathbb{R}$$

is Lebesgue measurable and

$$\int_Y \left(\int_{X_y} \eta \right) \rho_Y(y) \omega_Y = \int_X \eta \wedge \pi^*(\rho_Y \omega_Y), \quad \eta \in \Omega^c(X). \quad (2.2)$$

For the second version of the coarea formula we choose a top degree form $\alpha \in \Omega^N(X)$. If $y_0 \in Y$ is a regular value of π , then there is an induced *Gelfand-Leray residue form*

$$\frac{\alpha}{\pi^* \omega_Y} \in \Omega^{N-n}(X_{y_0}).$$

It is locally constructed as follows. Fix a point $p_0 \in X_{y_0}$ and local coordinates $(x^1, \dots, x^N)$ on X in a neighborhood U of p_0 and coordinates $(y^1, \dots, y^n)$ on Y in a neighborhood V of $y_0 = \pi(p_0)$ such that, in these coordinates, the smooth map π is linear and described by the functions

$$y^i(x) = x^{N-n+i}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n.$$

In the coordinates (y^i) the volume form ω_Y has the form

$$\omega_Y = a(y) dy^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy^n,$$

where $a \in C^\infty(V)$ is a nowhere vanishing function. Now choose a form $\beta \in \Omega^{N-n}(U)$ such that

$$\beta \wedge a(x^{N-n+1}, \dots, x^N) dx^{N-n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^N = \alpha.$$

The restriction of β to $X_{y_0} \cap U$ is an $(N-n)$ -form on $X_{y_0} \cap U$ that is independent of all the choices and it is the Gelfand-Leray residue $\frac{\alpha}{\pi^* \omega_Y}$.

The second version of the coarea formula that we will need takes the form

$$\int_X \alpha = \int_Y \left(\int_{X_y} \frac{\alpha}{\pi^* \omega_Y} \right) \omega_Y. \quad (2.3)$$

For an explanation of why the more traditional coarea formula, [17, Thm. 3.2.11] or [24, Thm. 5.3.9], implies (2.2) and (2.3) we refer to [32, Cor. 2.11].

2.3. The double fibration trick. Consider the incidence set

$$\mathcal{X} := \{(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{U} \times M; \mathbf{u}(x) = 0\}.$$

It comes equipped with two natural projections

$$\mathbf{U} \xleftarrow{\pi_-} \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\pi_+} M,$$

$$\pi_+(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}, \quad \pi_-(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u}, \quad \forall (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \in \mathcal{X}.$$

For any subset $A \subset M$ and $B \subset \mathbf{U}$ we set

$$\mathcal{X}_A^+ := \pi_+^{-1}(A), \quad \mathcal{X}_B^- := \pi_-^{-1}(B).$$

Lemma 2.2. (a) The incidence set $\mathcal{X}$ has a natural structure of smooth manifold diffeomorphic to the total space of the vector bundle $K \rightarrow M$.

(b) If $\mathbf{u} \neq 0$ is a regular value of π_- , then $\mathbf{u} \pitchfork 0$.

Proof. (a) Note that

$$(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \in \mathcal{X} \iff P_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{e} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} = 0 \iff \mathbf{u} = K_{\mathbf{x}}.$$

This proves the first claim.

(b) Suppose that $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbf{U} \setminus 0$ is a regular value of β . We will show that for any $\mathbf{x}_0 \in M$ such that $\mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0$, the adjunction map $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{u}_0}$ defines an isomorphism

$$(T_{Z_{\mathbf{u}_0}} M)_{\mathbf{x}_0} \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{x}_0}.$$

Fix a small open coordinate neighborhood $\mathcal{O} \subset M$ of $\mathbf{x}_0$ in M with local coordinates $(x^1, \dots, x^m)$. We assume that via these coordinates $\mathcal{O}$ is identified with a ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ centered at 0 and $\mathbf{x}_0$ is identified with the center of the ball, $x^i(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0, \forall i = 1, \dots, m$.

Both bundles E and K are trivializable over B . We can therefore find smooth maps

$$\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_N : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$$

such that the following hold.

$$\text{For any } \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{O} \text{ the collection } \{\mathbf{e}_a(\mathbf{x})\}_{1 \leq a \leq N} \text{ is an orthonormal basis of } \mathbf{U}. \quad (2.4)$$

$$\text{span}\{\mathbf{e}_i(\mathbf{x}), 1 \leq i \leq r\} = E_{\mathbf{x}}, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{O}. \quad (2.5)$$

$$\text{span}\{\mathbf{e}_\alpha(\mathbf{x}), r < \alpha \leq N\} = K_{\mathbf{x}}, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{O}. \quad (2.6)$$

$$\nabla^E \mathbf{e}_i(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0, \forall i = 1, \dots, r, \quad (2.7)$$

We will use the following conventions frequently encountered in integral geometry.

- We will use the Latin letters a, b, c to denote indices in the range $1, \dots, N$.
- We will use the Latin letters i, j, k, ℓ to denote indices in the range $1, \dots, r = \text{rank}(E)$.
- We will use the Greek letters α, β, γ to denote indices in the range $r + 1, \dots, N$.

The map

$$\mathbb{R}^N \times B \ni (t, x) \mapsto \left(\sum_a t^a \mathbf{e}_a(x), x \right) \in \mathbf{U} \times \mathcal{O}$$

is a diffeomorphism. The set $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}}^+ \subset \underline{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathcal{O}}$ can be identified with the set

$$\{(t^1, \dots, t^N, \underbrace{x^1, \dots, x^m}_x) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^m; x \in B, t^j = 0, \forall j \leq r\}. \quad (2.8)$$

We write

$$t := (t^i)_{1 \leq i \leq r}, \quad \tau := (t^\alpha)_{r < \alpha \leq N}, \quad \tilde{t} := (t, \tau). \quad (2.9)$$

Thus the pair (τ, x) defines local coordinates on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}}^+$. In these coordinates the pair $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{x}_0)$ is identified with a pair $(\tau_0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-r} \times \mathbb{R}^m$,

$$\tau_0 = (\tau_0^{r+1}, \dots, \tau_0^N).$$

Moreover, the map π_- is given by

$$(\tau, x) \mapsto \pi_-(\tau, x) = \sum_{\alpha} t^\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha(x) \in \mathbf{U}. \quad (2.10)$$

We set

$$u^a(x) := (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{e}_a(x))_U, \quad \forall a = 1, \dots, N,$$

so that

$$\mathbf{u}_0 = \sum_a u^a(x) \mathbf{e}_a(x), \quad \forall x \in B. \quad (2.11)$$

Above, we think of $\mathbf{u}_0$ as a constant section of the trivial bundle $\underline{U}_M$. The functions $u^a(x)$ are the coordinates of this section in the moving frame $(\mathbf{e}_a(x))$. Note that

$$S_{\mathbf{u}_0}^E(x) = P_x \mathbf{u}_0 = \sum_i u^i(x) \mathbf{e}_i(x). \quad (2.12)$$

The fiber $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{u}_0}^- = \pi_-^{-1}(\mathbf{u}_0)$ is described in the coordinates (τ, x) by the equalities

$$u^i(x) = 0, \quad t^\alpha = u^\alpha(x), \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq r, \quad \forall \alpha > r.$$

Remark 2.3. Denote by Q the natural orthogonal projection $Q : \underline{U}_M \rightarrow K = \ker P$. From the above equalities and (2.6) we deduce that the section

$$Q\mathbf{u}_0 : M \rightarrow K, \quad x \mapsto Q_x \mathbf{u}_0, \quad (2.13)$$

induces a homeomorphism from $Z_{\mathbf{u}_0}$ to the fiber $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{u}_0}^-$. This homeomorphism would be a diffeomorphism if $Z_{\mathbf{u}_0}$ were cut out transversally by the equations $u^i(x) = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. $\square$

The differential of π_- at $(\tau_0, 0) \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{u}_0}^-$ is

$$d\pi_-|_{\tau_0, 0} = \sum_\alpha dt^\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha|_{\tau=\tau_0} + \sum_\alpha \tau_0^\alpha d\mathbf{e}_\alpha|_{x=0}.$$

Since $\mathbf{u}_0$ is a regular value of π_- , the differential $d\pi_-$ at any point in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{u}_0}^-$ is surjective. In particular, the induced linear map

$$Pd\pi_-|_{\tau_0, 0} = \sum_\alpha \tau_0^\alpha P d\mathbf{e}_\alpha(x)|_{x=0} : T_{\mathbf{x}_0} M \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{x}_0}$$

must be surjective. From (2.12) we deduce that

$$\nabla^E S_{\mathbf{u}_0}^E = Pd \left(\sum_i u^i(x) \mathbf{e}_i(x) \right) = \sum_i du^i \mathbf{e}_i + \sum_i u^i P d\mathbf{e}_i$$

At $\mathbf{x}_0$ we have $u^i(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0$ and we conclude that

$$(\nabla^E S_{\mathbf{u}_0}^E)|_{\mathbf{x}_0} = \sum_i du^i \mathbf{e}_i.$$

On the other hand, we deduce from (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d \left(\sum_a u^a(x) \mathbf{e}_a(x) \right) \Rightarrow Pd \left(\sum_a u^a(x) \mathbf{e}_a(x) \right) = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \sum_i du^i \mathbf{e}_i + \sum_i u^i P d\mathbf{e}_i = - \sum_\alpha u^\alpha P d\mathbf{e}_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

At $\mathbf{x}_0$ we have $u^i(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0$, $u^\alpha(\mathbf{x}_0) = \tau_0^\alpha$ and we deduce

$$(\nabla^E S_{\mathbf{u}_0}^E)|_{\mathbf{x}_0} = \sum_i du^i \mathbf{e}_i = - \sum_\alpha \tau_0^\alpha P d\mathbf{e}_\alpha(x)|_{x=0} = -Pd\pi_-|_{\tau_0, 0}.$$

This proves that the adjunction map

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{u}_0}|_{\mathbf{x}_0} = (\nabla^E S_{\mathbf{u}_0}^E)|_{\mathbf{x}_0} = -Pd\pi_-|_{\tau_{0,0}} : T_{\mathbf{x}_0}M \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{x}_0} \quad (2.14)$$

is surjective. Since

$$\sum_i du^i \mathbf{e}_i = -Pd\pi_-|_{\tau_{0,0}}$$

we deduce that near $\mathbf{x}_0$ the zero set $Z_{\mathbf{u}_0}$ is cut out transversally by the equations $u^i(x) = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, r$. $\square$

Observe that it suffices to prove (2.1) only for forms η supported in some coordinate neighborhood $\mathcal{O}$ of some point $\mathbf{x}_0 \in M$. We continue to use the notations and the conventions introduced in the proof of Lemma 2.2. We have a double fibration

$$\mathbf{U} \xleftarrow{\pi_-} \mathcal{X}|_{\mathcal{O}} \xrightarrow{\pi_+} \mathcal{O}.$$

Assume that the volume form

$$\omega_{\mathcal{O}} = dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^m \in \Omega^m(\mathcal{O})$$

defines the given orientation of M . Clearly, the equality (2.1) is linear in η so it suffices to prove it in the special case when

$$\eta = f_M dx^{r+1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^m, \quad f_M \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{O}).$$

We fix an orientation on $\mathbf{U}$ and consider the volume form

$$\omega_{\mathbf{U}} = \rho_{\mathbf{U}} dV_{\mathbf{U}}, \quad \rho_{\mathbf{U}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|u|^2}{2}},$$

where $dV_{\mathbf{U}}$ denotes the Euclidean volume form on $\mathbf{U}$ determined by the given orientation.

The orientation on $\mathbf{U}$ defines an orientation on the trivial bundle $\underline{\mathbf{U}}_M$. Coupled with the orientation on E it induces an orientation on the vector bundle K uniquely determined by the requirements

$$\text{orientation}(\underline{\mathbf{U}}_M) = \text{orientation}(E) \wedge \text{orientation}(K) = \text{orientation}(K) \wedge \text{orientation}(E).$$

Finally, the orientation on K induces an orientation on the total space $\mathcal{X}$ via the fiber-first convention. We will refer to this orientation as the *natural orientation* on $\mathcal{X}$.

For any regular value $\mathbf{u}_0$ of π_- , the fiber $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{u}_0}^-$ carries an orientation given by the fiber-first convention applied to the fibration $\pi_- : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$.

Lemma 2.4. *The natural orientation of $\mathcal{X}|_{\mathcal{O}}$ has the property that for any regular value $\mathbf{u}_0$ of π_- , the natural diffeomorphism*

$$Q\mathbf{u}_0 : Z_{\mathbf{u}_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{u}_0}^-$$

defined in Remark 2.3 has degree $(-1)^{Nm}$ and thus changes the orientation by the factor $(-1)^{Nm}$.

Proof. The fiber $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{u}_0}^-$ is the image of $Z_{\mathbf{u}_0}$ via the section $\Psi = Q\mathbf{u}_0$ of $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow M$. The map Ψ identifies the normal bundle $T_{Z_{\mathbf{u}_0}}M$ of $Z_{\mathbf{u}_0}$ in M with the normal bundle $T_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{u}_0}^-}\Psi(M)$ of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{u}_0}^-$ in $\Psi(M)$.

The equality (2.14) shows that the restriction of $d\pi_-$ to $T_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{u}_0}^-}\Psi(\mathcal{O})$ can be identified up to a sign with the opposite of the adjunction map. This sign is not important for orientations

purposes since the bundles involved have even rank. Now observe that at $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{x}_0) \in \mathcal{X}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{orientation}(\mathcal{X}) &= \text{orientation}(K_{\mathbf{x}_0}) \wedge \text{orientation} \Psi(M) \\ &= \text{orientation}(K_{\mathbf{x}_0}) \wedge \text{orientation}(Z_{\mathbf{u}_0}) \wedge \text{orientation}(E_{\mathbf{x}_0}) \\ &= (-1)^{Nm} \text{orientation}(Z_{\mathbf{u}_0}) \wedge \text{orientation}(E_{\mathbf{x}_0}) \wedge \text{orientation}(K_{\mathbf{x}_0}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \text{orientation}(\mathcal{X}) &= \text{orientation}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{u}_0}^-) \wedge \text{orientation} \mathbf{U} \\ &= \text{orientation}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{u}_0}^-) \wedge \text{orientation}(E_{\mathbf{x}_0}) \wedge \text{orientation}(K_{\mathbf{x}_0}). \end{aligned}$$

□

The first coarea formula (2.2) coupled with Lemma 2.4 imply that

$$\int_{\mathbf{U}} \left(\int_{Z_{\mathbf{u}}} \eta \right) \rho_{\mathbf{U}} dV_{\mathbf{U}} = (-1)^{Nm} \int_{\mathbf{U}} \left(\int_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{u}}^-} \eta \right) \rho_{\mathbf{U}} dV_{\mathbf{U}} = (-1)^{Nm} \int_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{O}}^+} \pi_+^* \eta \wedge \pi_-^* \omega_{\mathbf{U}}.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\mathbf{U}} \left(\int_{Z_{\mathbf{u}}} \eta \right) \rho_{\mathbf{U}} dV_{\mathbf{U}} = \int_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{O}}^+} \pi_-^* \omega_{\mathbf{U}} \wedge \pi_+^* \eta. \quad (2.15)$$

Recalling that $\pi_+^{-1}(x) = K_x$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{O}$, we deduce from (2.15) and the second coarea formula (2.3) that

$$\int_{\mathbf{U}} \left(\int_{Z_{\mathbf{u}}} \eta \right) \rho_{\mathbf{U}} dV_{\mathbf{U}} = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left(\int_{K_x} \frac{\pi_-^* \omega_{\mathbf{U}} \wedge \pi_+^* \eta}{\pi_+^* \omega_{\mathcal{O}}} \right) \omega_{\mathcal{O}}. \quad (2.16)$$

This is Gelfand's double fibration trick. To prove (2.1) we need to show that

$$\left(\int_{K_x} \frac{\pi_-^* \omega_{\mathbf{U}} \wedge \pi_+^* \eta}{\pi_+^* \omega_{\mathcal{O}}} \right) \omega_{\mathcal{O}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^h} \eta \wedge \mathbf{Pf}(-F^E) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^h} \mathbf{Pf}(-F^E) \wedge \eta \text{ on } \mathcal{O}. \quad (2.17)$$

2.4. Proof of (2.17). Suppose that $(\mathbf{e}_a(0))_{1 \leq a \leq N}$ is a positively oriented basis of $\mathbf{U}$ and $(\mathbf{e}_i(0))_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ is a positively oriented basis of $E_{\mathbf{x}_0}$. We set

$$y_{ab}(x) := (\mathbf{e}_a(0), \mathbf{e}_b(x))_{\mathbf{U}}, \quad \forall 1 \leq a, b \leq N.$$

The $N \times N$ matrix $Y(x) = (y_{ab}(x))$ is orthogonal and $Y(0) = 1$. Moreover

$$\mathbf{e}_a(x) = \sum_b y_{ba}(x) \mathbf{e}_b(0), \quad \mathbf{e}_a(0) = \sum_b y_{ab}(x) \mathbf{e}_b(x), \quad \forall a. \quad (2.18)$$

We deduce

$$P_x \mathbf{e}_a(0) = \sum_i y_{ai}(x) \mathbf{e}_i(x) = \sum_{i,b} y_{ai}(x) y_{bi}(x) \mathbf{e}_b(0).$$

Hence

$$\nabla^E \mathbf{e}_j(x) = P_x d \sum_b y_{bj}(x) \mathbf{e}_b(0) = \sum_b dy_{bj}(x) P \mathbf{e}_b(0) = \sum_{i,b} y_{bi}(x) dy_{bj}(x) \mathbf{e}_i(x).$$

Thus, in the local orthonormal frame $(\mathbf{e}_i(x))$ the connection ∇^E is described by the matrix-valued 1-form

$$\Gamma = (\Gamma_{ij}(x))_{1 \leq i, j \leq p}, \quad \Gamma_{ij}(x) = \sum_b y_{bi}(x) \wedge dy_{bj}(x).$$

The curvature of ∇^E is $F^E = d\Gamma + \Gamma \wedge \Gamma$. Note that

$$d\Gamma_{ij}(x) = \sum_b dy_{bi}(x) \wedge dy_{bj}(x).$$

At $\mathbf{x}_0$, the constraint (2.7) on the frame $\mathbf{e}_i(x)$ implies that $\nabla^E \mathbf{e}_j|_{\mathbf{x}_0} = 0, \forall j$. Thus

$$0 = \Gamma_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \sum_b y_{bi}(0) dy_{bj}(0) = \sum_b \delta_{bi} dy_{bj}(0) = dy_{ij}(0), \quad \forall i, j. \quad (2.19)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} F^E|_{\mathbf{x}_0} &= d\Gamma = (F_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq p}, \\ F_{ij} &= \sum_b dy_{bi}(0) \wedge dy_{bj}(0) = \sum_\beta dy_{\beta i}(0) \wedge dy_{\beta j}(0) \in \Lambda^2 T_{\mathbf{x}_0}^* M. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the $N \times N$ Maurer-Cartan matrix $Y^{-1}(x)dY(x)$ is skew-symmetric for any x . At $x = 0$ we have $Y(0) = 1$ and we deduce

$$dy_{\beta i}(0) = -dy_{i\beta}(0), \quad \forall i, \beta.$$

We conclude that

$$F^E|_{\mathbf{x}_0} = d\Gamma = (F_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}, \quad F_{ij} = \sum_\beta dy_{i\beta}(0) \wedge dy_{j\beta}(0). \quad (2.20)$$

Define

$$y_a : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad y_a(\mathbf{u}) = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{e}_a(0))_{\mathbf{U}}, \quad 1 \leq a \leq N.$$

The Euclidean volume form on $\mathbf{U}$ is then

$$dV_{\mathbf{U}} = dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_N.$$

Recall that $(\tau, x^1, \dots, x^m)$ are coordinates on $\mathcal{X}_0^+$; see (2.8) and (2.9). Using (2.10) we deduce that

$$y_a(\pi_-(\tau, x^1, \dots, x^m)) = y_a\left(\sum_\alpha t^\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha(x)\right) = \sum_\alpha t^\alpha (\mathbf{e}_a(0), \mathbf{e}_\alpha(x))_{\mathbf{U}} = \sum_\alpha t^\alpha y_{a\alpha}(x).$$

We set

$$\xi_a(x) = \xi_a(\tau, x) := \sum_\alpha t^\alpha y_{a\alpha}(x),$$

so that

$$\pi_-(\tau, x) = \sum_a \xi_a(x) \mathbf{e}_a(0),$$

and

$$\pi_-^* dV_{\mathbf{U}} = d\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\xi_N.$$

We view this as a form on the space $\mathbb{R}^{N-r} \times \mathcal{O}$ with coordinates (τ, x) . We have

$$d\xi_a = \sum_\alpha dt^\alpha y_{a\alpha} + \sum_\alpha t^\alpha dy_{a\alpha}(x).$$

Observe that at $(\tau_0, 0)$ we have

$$y_{ab}(0) = \delta_{ab}, \quad t^\alpha = \tau_0^\alpha,$$

so

$$d\xi_a(0) := d\xi_a|_{x=0} = \sum_\alpha \delta_\alpha^a dt^\alpha + \sum_\alpha \tau_0^\alpha dy_\alpha^a(0).$$

Hence

$$d\xi_i(0) = \sum_{\alpha} \tau_0^{\alpha} dy_{i\alpha}(0), \quad d\xi_{\beta} = dt^{\beta} + \sum_{\alpha} \tau_0^{\alpha} dy_{\beta\alpha}(0),$$

so that

$$\pi_{-}^{*}\omega_U = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|\tau|^2}{2}} d\xi_1 \wedge \cdots d\xi_N.$$

Now observe that

$$d\xi_1 \wedge \cdots d\xi_N = \underbrace{(dt^{r+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dt^N)}_{=:d\tau} \underbrace{\bigwedge_i \sum_{\alpha_i} \tau_0^{\alpha_i} dy_{i\alpha_i}(0)}_{=: \Omega(\tau_0)} + \mathcal{L},$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ incorporates all the other terms that have degrees $< N - r$ in the dt^{α} variables, and

$$\Omega(\tau_0) \in \Lambda^r T_{\mathbf{x}_0}^{*} M.$$

Since the terms collected in $\mathcal{L}$ have degrees $> r$ in the variables $(x^1, \dots, x^m)$ we deduce

$$d\xi_1 \wedge \cdots d\xi_N \wedge \pi_{+}^{*}\eta = f_M d\tau \wedge \Omega(\tau_0) \wedge dx^{r+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^m.$$

Denote by $\Omega(\tau_0)_{1,\dots,r}$ the coefficient of $dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^r$ in the decomposition of $\Omega(\tau_0)$ with respect to the basis $\{dx^{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{j_r}\}_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots, j_r \leq m}$ of $\Lambda^r T_{\mathbf{x}_0}^{*} M$. If we set

$$\gamma_K(d\tau) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N-r}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|\tau|^2}{2}} d\tau \in \Omega^{N-r}(K_{\mathbf{x}_0}),$$

then we deduce that

$$\frac{\pi_{-}^{*}\omega_U \wedge \pi_{+}^{*}\eta}{dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^m} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \gamma_K \wedge f_M(\mathbf{x}_0) \Omega(\tau_0)_{1,\dots,r}. \quad (2.21)$$

Hence

$$\int_{K_{\mathbf{x}_0}} \frac{\pi_{-}^{*}\omega_U \wedge \pi_{+}^{*}\eta}{dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^m} = \frac{f_M(\mathbf{x}_0)}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \int_{K_{\mathbf{x}_0}} \Omega(\tau)_{1,\dots,r} \gamma_K(d\tau). \quad (2.22)$$

In the sequel we will denote by $\bullet$ the inner product in the space $K_{\mathbf{x}_0}$. Our choice of local frames amounts to a metric isomorphism $K_{\mathbf{x}_0} \cong \mathbb{R}^{N-r}$.

For every $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $\tau \in K_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ we set

$$\Phi_i := \begin{bmatrix} dy_{ir+1}(0) \\ \vdots \\ dy_{iN}(0) \end{bmatrix} \in T_{\mathbf{x}_0}^{*} M \otimes K_{\mathbf{x}_0}, \quad \omega_i(\tau) = \Phi_i \bullet \tau := \sum_{\alpha} t^{\alpha} dy_{i\alpha}(0) \in T_{\mathbf{x}_0}^{*} M.$$

Let us point out that the $(N-r) \times r$ matrix with columns $\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_r$ describes the differential at $\mathbf{x}_0$ of the Gauss map

$$M \ni \mathbf{x} \mapsto E_{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbf{Gr}_r(U) = \text{the Grassmannian of } r\text{-planes in } U.$$

We have

$$\Omega(\tau) = \omega_1(\tau) \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_r(\tau).$$

For every $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $\tau \in K_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ we set

$$\Phi_{ij} := \partial_{x^j} \lrcorner \Phi_i = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial y_{ir+1}}{\partial x^j}(0) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial y_{iN}}{\partial x^j}(0) \end{bmatrix} \in K_{\mathbf{x}_0}, \quad \omega_{ij}(\tau) = (\Phi_{ij}, \tau)_U = \Phi_{ij} \bullet \tau \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We denote by $A(\tau)$ the $r \times r$ matrix with entries

$$A(\tau)_{ij} = \omega_{ij}(\tau), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq r.$$

Then

$$\omega_i(\tau) = \sum_{j=1}^m \omega_{ij}(\tau) dx^j, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, r, \quad \Omega(\tau)_{1, \dots, r} = \det A(\tau).$$

We set

$$\overline{\Omega}_{1, \dots, r} := \int_{K_{\mathbf{x}_0}} \det A(\tau) \gamma_K(d\tau). \quad (2.23)$$

Using (2.22) we deduce

$$\int_{K_{\mathbf{x}_0}} \frac{\pi_-^* \omega_U \wedge \pi_+^* \eta}{dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^m} = \frac{f_M(\mathbf{x}_0)}{(2\pi)^{\frac{p}{2}}} \overline{\Omega}_{1, \dots, r}. \quad (2.24)$$

To compute the Gaussian average (2.23) we use the theory of orthogonal invariants [47] as in Weyl's proof of his tube formula [20, §4.4], [31, §9.3.3], [48].

Let us first observe that for $1 \leq i_1 \neq i_2 \leq r$ and $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq m$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{i_1 j_1} \bullet \Phi_{i_2 j_2} - \Phi_{i_1 j_2} \bullet \Phi_{i_2 j_1} &= \sum_{\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial y_{i_1 \alpha}}{\partial x^{j_1}} \frac{\partial y_{i_2 \alpha}}{\partial x^{j_2}} - \frac{\partial y_{i_1 \alpha}}{\partial x^{j_2}} \frac{\partial y_{i_2 \alpha}}{\partial x^{j_1}} \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{\alpha} dy_{i_1 \alpha} \wedge dy_{i_2 \alpha} \right) (\partial_{x^{j_1}}, \partial_{x^{j_2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.20) and the notation (1.3) we deduce

$$F_{i_1 i_2 | j_1 j_2}^E = \Phi_{i_1 j_1} \bullet \Phi_{i_2 j_2} - \Phi_{i_1 j_2} \bullet \Phi_{i_2 j_1}, \quad \forall 1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq r, \quad 1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq m. \quad (2.25)$$

For any collection of vectors $\mathbf{u}_{ij} \in K_{\mathbf{x}_0}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ and any $\tau \in K_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ we define the $r \times r$ matrix

$$A(\tau, \mathbf{u}_{ij}) := (\mathbf{u}_{ij} \bullet \tau)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r},$$

and we consider the average

$$\mu(\mathbf{u}_{ij}) := \int_{K_{\mathbf{x}_0}} \det A(\tau, \mathbf{u}_{ij}) \gamma_K(d\tau).$$

The average $\mu(\mathbf{u}_{ij})$ is a polynomial in the variables $\mathbf{u}_{ij} \in K_{\mathbf{x}_0}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, and it is invariant with respect to the action of the group $O(N-r)$ of orthogonal transformations of $K_{\mathbf{x}_0}$. Note that when $\mathbf{u}_{ij} = \Phi_{ij}$ we have

$$\mu(\Phi_{ij}) = \overline{\Omega}_{1, \dots, r}.$$

We recall that $r = 2h$ and we denote by $\mathcal{S}_r = \mathcal{S}_{2h}$ the group of permutations of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2h\}$. As in [31, §9.3.3] we define

$$Q_{\sigma, \varphi}(\mathbf{u}_{ij}) := \prod_{j=1}^h (\mathbf{u}_{\varphi_{2j-1} \sigma_{2j-1}} \bullet \mathbf{u}_{\varphi_{2j} \sigma_{2j}}), \quad Q = Q(\mathbf{u}_{ij}) := \sum_{\sigma, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_r} \epsilon(\sigma \varphi) Q_{\sigma, \varphi}(\mathbf{u}_{ij}).$$

Lemma 9.3.9 in [31] shows that there exists a constant Z such that

$$\mu(\mathbf{u}_{ij}) = ZQ(\mathbf{u}_{ij}), \quad \forall \mathbf{u}_{ij}.$$

To find the constant Z we choose the variables $\mathbf{u}_{ij} \in K_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ judiciously. More precisely, we set

$$\mathbf{u}_{ij}^* := \begin{cases} \mathbf{e}_N(0), & i = j, \\ 0, & i \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

In this case

$$A(\tau, \mathbf{u}_{ij}^*) = \text{Diag}(\underbrace{t^N, \dots, t^N}_{2h}), \quad \det A(\tau, \mathbf{u}_{ij}^*) = |t^N|^{2h},$$

$$\mu(\mathbf{u}_{ij}^*) = \int_{K_{\mathbf{x}_0}} |t^N|^{2h} \gamma_K(d\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} s^{2h} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds = \prod_{j=1}^h (2j-1) = (2h-1)!!.$$

On the other hand,

$$Q_{\sigma, \varphi}(\mathbf{u}_{ij}^*) = \begin{cases} 1, & \sigma = \varphi, \\ 0, & \sigma \neq \varphi, \end{cases}$$

and we deduce that $Q(\mathbf{u}_{ij}^*) = (2h)!!$. Thus

$$Z = \frac{(2h-1)!!}{(2h)!} = \frac{1}{2^h h!}, \quad \mu(\Phi_{ij}) = \frac{1}{2^h h!} Q(\Phi_{ij}).$$

Denote by $\mathcal{S}'_r$ the set of permutations φ of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2h\}$ such that

$$\varphi_1 < \varphi_2, \quad \varphi_3 < \varphi_4, \dots, \varphi_{2h-1} < \varphi_{2h}.$$

Using (2.25) we deduce as in the proof of [31, Eq. (9.3.11)] that

$$Q(\Phi_{ij}) = 2^h \sum_{\sigma, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}'_r} \prod_{j=1}^h \epsilon(\sigma\varphi) F_{\varphi_{2j-1}\varphi_{2j}|\sigma_{2j-1}\sigma_{2j}}^E. \quad (2.26)$$

Thus

$$\mu(\Phi_{ij}) = \frac{1}{h!} \sum_{\sigma, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}'_r} \prod_{j=1}^h \epsilon(\sigma\varphi) F_{\varphi_{2j-1}\varphi_{2j}|\sigma_{2j-1}\sigma_{2j}}^E \stackrel{(1.4)}{=} \mathbf{Pf}(-F^E)(\partial_{x^1}, \dots, \partial_{x^r}).$$

$$\overline{\Omega}_{1, \dots, r} = \mu(\Phi_{ij}) = \mathbf{Pf}(-F^E)(\partial_{x^1}, \dots, \partial_{x^r}). \quad (2.27)$$

Using (2.24) and (2.27) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{K_{\mathbf{x}_0}} \frac{\pi_-^* \omega_{\mathbf{U}} \wedge \pi_+^* \eta}{dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^m} \right) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^m &= \frac{f_M(\mathbf{x}_0)}{(2\pi)^{\frac{r}{2}}} \overline{\Omega}_{1, \dots, p} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^m \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^h} \mathbf{Pf}(-F^E) \wedge \eta. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (2.17). □

3. THE WHITE NOISE LIMIT

3.1. Gaussian measures. Recall [7] that a *centered Gaussian measure* on a finite dimensional real vector space $\mathbf{U}$ is a probability measure γ on $\mathbf{U}$ such that for any linear functional $\xi \in \mathbf{U}^* = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbb{R})$ the pushforward $\xi_{\#}\gamma$ is Gaussian measure on $\mathbb{R}$

$$\xi_{\#}\gamma = \gamma_v := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi v}} e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{2v}} d\xi, \quad v \geq 0.$$

Above, when $v = 0$, we define γ_v to the Dirac delta-measure concentrated at 0.

A centered Gaussian measure γ on $\mathbf{U}$ is completely determined by its covariance form $C = C_{\gamma}$ which is the symmetric, nonnegative definite bilinear form

$$C : \mathbf{U}^* \times \mathbf{U}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad C(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \mathbf{E}_{\gamma}(\xi_1 \cdot \xi_2),$$

where $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbf{U}^*$ are viewed as random variables on $(\mathbf{U}, \gamma)$. The Gaussian measure γ is called *nondegenerate* if its covariance form is nondegenerate. If this is the case, the bilinear form defines an Euclidean inner product on $\mathbf{U}^*$ and, by duality, an inner product on $\mathbf{U}$.

Conversely, given an inner product σ on $\mathbf{U}$ with norm $|\cdot|_{\sigma}$, we have a Gaussian measure

$$\gamma_{\sigma} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{\dim \mathbf{U}}{2}} e^{-\frac{|u|_{\sigma}^2}{2}} |du|_{\sigma}, \quad (3.1)$$

and σ coincides with the inner product determined by γ_{σ} .

The inner product σ identifies $\mathbf{U}$ with $\mathbf{U}^*$ and the covariance form of an arbitrary Gaussian measure γ on $\mathbf{U}$ can be identified with a symmetric nonnegative operator $T_{\gamma} : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$. The measure γ is nondegenerate iff T_{γ} is invertible. In this case

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det 2\pi T_{\gamma}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma(T_{\gamma}^{-1}u, u)} |du|_{\sigma} = \left(T_{\gamma}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)_{\#} \gamma_{\sigma}. \quad (3.2)$$

Note that if γ is a centered Gaussian measure on $\mathbf{U}$ with covariance form C_{γ} and $L : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ is a linear map to another finite dimensional vector space $\mathbf{V}$ then the pushforward $L_{\#}\gamma$ is a Gaussian measure on $\mathbf{V}$ with covariance form $C_{L_{\#}\gamma} = L^*C_{\gamma}$. In particular, if γ is as in (3.2), then

$$\gamma = \left(T_{\gamma}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)_{\#} \gamma_{\sigma}.$$

3.2. Probabilistic descriptions of special metrics and connection. Suppose that we are given a smooth real vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ of rank r , and a sample space $(\mathbf{U}, \gamma_{\mathbf{U}})$ of $C^{\infty}(E)$. The nondegenerate Gaussian measure $\gamma_{\mathbf{U}}$ on $\mathbf{U}$ determines a metric $(-, -)_{\mathbf{U}}$.

As we have seen, the metric $(-, -)_{\mathbf{U}}$ on $\mathbf{U}$ induces a metric $(-, -)_E$ on the bundle E and by duality, a metric on E^* . We want to give a probabilistic description of the induced metric $(-, -)_{E^*}$ in a fiber $E_{\mathbf{x}}^*$ of E^* .

To simplify the presentation we introduce some notations and conventions.

- (i) We will use the $\bullet$ -notation to denote the inner product in $\mathbf{U}$ or $\mathbf{U}^*$.
- (ii) We will use the Latin letters i, j, k, ℓ to denote indices in the range $1, \dots, m = \dim M$.
- (iii) We will use the Greek letters α, β, γ to denote indices in the range $1, \dots, r = \text{rank}(E)$.

Let

$$\langle -, - \rangle : E_{\mathbf{x}}^* \times E_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

denote the natural pairing. Fix an orthonormal basis $\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_N$ of $\mathbf{U}$ and denote by (Ψ_n^*) the dual orthonormal basis of $\mathbf{U}^*$. Then $\mathbf{ev}_x^* : E_x^* \rightarrow \mathbf{U}^*$ is given by

$$\mathbf{ev}_x(\mathbf{u}^*) = \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \mathbf{u}^*, \Psi_n(x) \rangle \Psi_n^*,$$

and

$$(\mathbf{u}_1^*, \mathbf{u}_2^*)_{E^*} = (\mathbf{ev}_x^* \mathbf{u}_1^*) \bullet (\mathbf{ev}_x^* \mathbf{u}_2^*) = \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \mathbf{u}_1^*, \Psi_n(x) \rangle \langle \mathbf{u}_2^*, \Psi_n(x) \rangle.$$

Thus the metric $(-, -)_{E^*}$ is described by the bilinear form $\mathbf{C}(x)$ on E_x^* given by

$$\mathbf{C}_x = \sum_{n=1}^N \Psi_n(x) \otimes \Psi_n(x) \in E_x \otimes E_x \cong \text{Hom}(E_x^* \otimes E_x^*, \mathbb{R}).$$

The bilinear form $\mathbf{C}_x$ has a probabilistic interpretation: it is the covariance form of the Gaussian measure $(\mathbf{ev}_x)_\# \gamma_{\mathbf{U}}$ on E_x .

We have a metric duality isomorphism

$$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}_x : E_x \rightarrow E_x^*, \quad (\mathbf{v}^*, \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u})_{E^*} := \langle \mathbf{v}^*, \mathbf{u} \rangle.$$

Fix a point $\mathbf{x}_0$ and a small coordinate neighborhood $\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathbf{x}_0$ with coordinates (x^i) such that $x^i(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0$. Suppose that $(\mathbf{e}^\alpha(x))$ is a local frame of E^* defined on $\mathcal{O}$. Denote by $(\mathbf{e}_\alpha(x))$ the dual moving frame. We set

$$C^{\alpha\beta}(x) := \mathbf{C}_x(\mathbf{e}^\alpha(x), \mathbf{e}^\beta(x)).$$

The matrix $C(x) = (C^{\alpha\beta}(x))$ is symmetric and positive definite. We denote by $(C_{\alpha\beta}(x))$ the inverse matrix. If we write

$$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{e}_\alpha =: \sum_{\beta} D_{\beta\alpha} \mathbf{e}^\beta,$$

then we deduce

$$\delta_\alpha^\gamma = \langle \mathbf{e}^\gamma, \mathbf{e}_\alpha \rangle = \left(\mathbf{e}^\gamma, \sum_{\beta} D_{\beta\alpha} \mathbf{e}^\beta \right)_{E^*} = \sum_{\beta} C^{\gamma\beta} D_{\beta\alpha}$$

which shows that the duality isomorphism $\mathbf{D}$ is represented in these bases by the inverse of the matrix C , $D_{\beta\alpha}(x) = C_{\beta\alpha}(x)$.

We want to compute the covariant derivatives

$$\nabla_i^{E^*} \mathbf{e}^\alpha(0) := \nabla_{\partial_{x^i}}^{E^*} \mathbf{e}^\alpha(0).$$

We set

$$\Psi_n^\alpha(x) := \langle \mathbf{e}^\alpha(x), \Psi_n(x) \rangle \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall n = 1, \dots, N,$$

and we deduce

$$\mathbf{ev}_x^* \mathbf{e}^\alpha(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N \Psi_n^\alpha(x) \Psi_n^*, \quad \partial_{x^i} (\mathbf{ev}_x^* \mathbf{e}^\alpha(x)) = \sum_{n=1}^N \partial_{x^i} \Psi_n^\alpha(x) \Psi_n^*.$$

We denote by P_x the orthogonal projection $\mathbf{U}^* \rightarrow E_x^*$. Then

$$\nabla_i^{E^*} \mathbf{e}^\alpha(x) = P_x \partial_i (\mathbf{ev}_x^* \mathbf{e}^\alpha(x)) = \mathbf{D}_x \left(\sum_n \partial_i \Psi_n^\alpha(x) \Psi_n(x) \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= D_x \left(\sum_{n,\beta} \partial_i \Psi_n^\alpha(x) \Psi_n^\beta(x) \mathbf{e}_\beta(x) \right) = \sum_{n,\beta,\gamma} \partial_i \Psi_n^\alpha(x) \Psi_n^\beta(x) C_{\gamma\beta}(x) \mathbf{e}^\gamma(x) \\
&= \sum_\gamma \underbrace{\left(\sum_n \sum_\beta \partial_i \Psi_n^\alpha(x) \Psi_n^\beta(x) C_{\gamma\beta}(x) \right)}_{=: \Gamma_{\gamma|i}^\alpha(x)} \mathbf{e}^\gamma(x).
\end{aligned}$$

For every $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{O}$, we denote by (x^i) the coordinates of $\mathbf{x}$, by (y^i) the coordinates of $\mathbf{y}$, and we set

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}} &:= \sum_{n=1}^N \Psi_n(\mathbf{x}) \otimes \Psi_n(\mathbf{y}) \in E_{\mathbf{x}} \otimes E_{\mathbf{y}}, \\
C^{\alpha\beta}(x, y) &:= \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \mathbf{e}^\alpha(x), \Psi_n(x) \rangle \langle \mathbf{e}^\beta(y), \Psi_n(y) \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

One should think of $C_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}$ as a *covariance kernel* defined by the random section $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{U}$ because it captures the correlations between the values of $\mathbf{u}$ at $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$. We deduce that

$$\sum_n \partial_i \Psi_n^\alpha(x) \Psi_n^\beta(x) = \partial_{x^i} C^{\alpha\beta}(x, y)|_{x=y}.$$

Hence

$$\nabla_i^{E*} \mathbf{e}^\alpha(x) = \sum_\gamma \Gamma_{\gamma|i}^\alpha(x) \mathbf{e}^\gamma(x), \quad \Gamma_{\gamma|i}^\alpha(x) = \sum_\beta \partial_{x^i} C^{\alpha\beta}(x, y)|_{x=y} C_{\gamma\beta}(x). \tag{3.4}$$

By duality we deduce

$$\nabla_i^E \mathbf{e}_\alpha(x) = - \sum_\beta \Gamma_{\alpha|i}^\beta(x) \mathbf{e}_\beta(x). \tag{3.5}$$

We denote by $\Gamma_i(x)$ the endomorphism of $E_{\mathbf{x}}$ given by

$$\mathbf{e}_\alpha(x) \mapsto \sum_\beta \Gamma_{\alpha|i}^\beta(x) \mathbf{e}_\beta(x).$$

From (3.4) and the symmetry of the bilinear form $C(x)$ we deduce that

$$\Gamma_i(x) = \partial_{x^i} C(x, y)|_{x=y} \cdot (C(x)^T)^{-1} = \partial_{x^i} C(x, y)|_{x=y} \cdot C(x)^{-1}. \tag{3.6}$$

We set

$$\Gamma = \sum_i dx^i \Gamma_i = d_x C(x, y)|_{x=y} C(x)^{-1}$$

The operator valued 1-form $-\Gamma$ describes the connection ∇^E in the local frame $(\mathbf{e}_\alpha(x))$,

$$\nabla^E = d - \Gamma.$$

The curvature is then

$$F^E = -d\Gamma + \Gamma \wedge \Gamma = - \sum_{i,j} (\partial_{x^i} \Gamma_j - \partial_{x^j} \Gamma_i) dx^i \wedge dx^j + \sum_{i < j} [\Gamma_i, \Gamma_j] dx^i \wedge dx^j. \tag{3.7}$$

Concretely

$$\partial_{x^i} \Gamma_{\gamma|j}^\alpha = \partial_{x^i} \sum_n \sum_\beta \partial_{x^j} \Psi_n^\alpha(x) \Psi_n^\beta(x) C_{\gamma\beta}(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_n \sum_\beta \partial_{x^i x^j}^2 \Psi_n^\alpha(x) \Psi_n^\beta(x) C_{\gamma\beta}(x) + \sum_n + \sum_\beta \partial_{x^j} \Psi_n^\alpha(x) \partial_{x^i} \Psi_n^\beta(x) C_{\gamma\beta}(x) \\
&\quad \sum_n \sum_\beta \partial_{x^j} \Psi_n^\alpha(x) \Psi_n^\beta(x) \partial_{x^i} C_{\gamma\beta}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{x^i} \Gamma_j &= \partial_{x^i x^j}^2 C(x, y)|_{x=y} C(x)^{-1} + \partial_{x^j y^i}^2 C(x, y)|_{x=y} C(x)^{-1} \\
&\quad + \left(\partial_{x^j} C(x, y)|_{x=y} \right) \cdot \partial_{x^i} (C(x)^{-1}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Suppose that E came equipped with another metric $\sigma_0(-, -)$ and connection ∇^0 compatible with this metric. Then

$$\nabla^E = \nabla^0 + A = \nabla^0 + \sum dx^i \wedge A_i,$$

where A is a *globally defined* operator valued 1-form, $A \in \Omega^1(\text{End}(E))$.

If we choose the local frame $(e^\alpha(x))$ on $\mathcal{O}$ to be orthonormal with respect to the metric σ_0 , and $\nabla^0 e^\alpha|_{x=0} = 0$, then

$$\partial_i \mathbf{e} \mathbf{v}_x^* e^\alpha(x)|_{x=0} = \sum_{n=1}^N \partial_i \sigma_0(\Psi_n(0), e_\alpha(0)) \Psi_n = \sum_{n=1}^N \sigma_0(\nabla_i^0 \Psi_n(0), e_\alpha(0)) \Psi_n.$$

It follows that

$$\nabla_i E^* e^\alpha(0) = \sum_\gamma \underbrace{\left(\sum_n \sum_\beta (\nabla_i^0 \Psi_n)^\alpha(0) \Psi_n^\beta(0) C_{\gamma\beta}(0, 0) \right)}_{=: A_{\gamma|i}^\alpha(0)} e^\gamma(0), \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$(\nabla^0 \Psi_n)^\alpha(x) := \langle e^\alpha(x), \nabla_i^0 \Psi_n(x) \rangle.$$

We deduce

$$\nabla_i^E e_\gamma(0) = - \sum_\alpha A_{\gamma|i}^\alpha(0) dx. \tag{3.10}$$

We denote by $(A_i(x))$ the endomorphism of E_x given by the matrix $(-A_{\gamma|i}^\alpha)_{1 \leq \alpha, \gamma \leq r}$.

We can rewrite this in an invariant way as follows. Consider the natural projections

$$M \xleftarrow{p_+} M \times M \xrightarrow{p_-} M, \quad p_\pm(\mathbf{x}_+, \mathbf{x}_-) = \mathbf{x}_\pm,$$

and the bundle

$$E \boxtimes E := p_+^* E \otimes p_-^* E.$$

Then $C(\mathbf{x}_+, \mathbf{x}_-)$ is a global section of $E \boxtimes E$. Its restriction to the diagonal can be identified with the section $C(\mathbf{x})$ of the bundle $E \otimes E$ over M . We deduce

$$A(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_i A_i(x) dx^i = - \sum_i \nabla_{x^i}^0 C(x, y)_{x=y} \cdot C(x)^{-1}. \tag{3.11}$$

Indeed, both sides of the above equality are globally defined $\text{End}(E)$ -valued 1-forms on M . It therefore suffices to verify (3.11) at an arbitrary point $\mathbf{x}_0$ in some local coordinates near $\mathbf{x}_0$ and some local trivialization of E . We have done this already in (3.10).

We denote by F^0 the curvature of ∇^0 and by F^E the curvature of ∇^E . Then

$$F^0 = \sum_{i < j} F_{ij}^0 dx^i \wedge dx^j, \quad F^E = \sum_{i < j} F_{ij}^E dx^i \wedge dx^j,$$

and

$$F_{ij}^E = F_{ij}^0 + \nabla_{x^i}^0 A_j - \nabla_{x^j}^0 A_i + [A_i, A_j]. \quad (3.12)$$

Observe that

$$\nabla_{x^i}^0 A_j = - \underbrace{\left(\nabla_{x^i}^0 \nabla_{x^j}^0 C(x, y)|_{x=y} + \nabla_{y^i}^0 \nabla_{x^j}^0 C(x, y)|_{x=y} \right)}_{=: T_{ij}(x)} \cdot C(x)^{-1} \quad (3.13a)$$

$$- \nabla_{x^j}^0 C(x, y)|_{x=y} \cdot \nabla_{x^i}^0 (C(x)^{-1}), \quad (3.13b)$$

$$\nabla_{x^i}^0 (C(x)^{-1}) = -C_x^{-1} (\nabla_{x^i}^0 C(x)) C_x^{-1}, \quad (3.13c)$$

$$\nabla_{x^i}^0 C(x) = \nabla_{x^i}^0 C(x, y)|_{x=y} + \nabla_{y^i}^0 C(x, y)|_{x=y}.$$

3.3. Probabilistic reconstruction of the geometry of a vector bundle. Suppose that we are given a smooth rank r real vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ over the smooth compact manifold M . We fix a metric σ_0 on E and a connection ∇^0 on E compatible with σ_0 . We want to construct a family of sample spaces $(U_\varepsilon, \gamma_\varepsilon) \subset C^\infty(E)$ with associated special (metric, connection)-pair $(\sigma_\varepsilon, \nabla^\varepsilon)$ satisfying the conditions (1.14a, 1.14b, 1.14d). We use a spectral geometry approach.

We fix a Riemann metric g on M with volume density $|dV_g|$. We can form the covariant Laplacian

$$\Delta_0 = (\nabla^0)^* \nabla^0 : C^\infty(E) \rightarrow C^\infty(E).$$

This is a symmetric, nonnegative definite second order elliptic operator whose principal symbol is scalar

$$\sigma(\Delta_0)(x, \xi) = |\xi|_g^2 \mathbb{1}_{E_x}, \quad \forall x \in M, \quad \xi \in T_x^* M.$$

Let

$$\text{spec}(\Delta_0) = \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots,$$

where in the above sequence each eigenvalue appears as many times as its multiplicity. We fix an orthonormal eigenbasis $(\Psi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of $L^2(E)$

$$\Delta_0 \Psi_n = \lambda_n \Psi_n, \quad \forall n.$$

Now fix an even, smooth, compactly supported function $w : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Assume that $w(0) \neq 0$.

For each $\varepsilon > 0$ we have a smoothing selfadjoint operator

$$W_\varepsilon = w(\varepsilon \sqrt{\Delta_0}) : L^2(E) \rightarrow L^2(E).$$

Define

$$U_\varepsilon := \text{Range}(W_\varepsilon) = \text{span}\{\Psi_n; w(\varepsilon \sqrt{\lambda_n}) \neq 0\} \subset C^\infty(E).$$

Note that U_ε is a finite dimensional invariant subspace of W_ε . The restriction of W_ε to U_ε is invertible and selfadjoint with respect to the L^2 -inner product on U_ε . As such, it defines a nondegenerate Gaussian measure γ_ε on U_ε following the prescription (3.2)

$$\gamma_\varepsilon(d\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det 2\pi W_\varepsilon}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle W_\varepsilon^{-1} \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle_{L^2}} |d\mathbf{u}|_{L^2},$$

where $(-, -)_{L^2}$ denotes the L^2 -inner product on U_ε and $|d\mathbf{u}|_{L^2}$ denotes the associated Lebesgue measure on U_ε . We set

$$\kappa(w) := \left(\int_0^\infty w(t) t^{m-1} dt \right) \text{vol}(S^{m-1}) \quad (3.14)$$

We denote generically by $L^{1,p}$ the Sobolev spaces norms of L^p -functions with first order derivatives in L^p .

Theorem 3.1. *Denote by $(\sigma_\varepsilon, \nabla^\varepsilon)$ the special (metric, connection)-pair determined on E by the sample space $(U_\varepsilon, \gamma_\varepsilon)$ constructed as above. For each $\varepsilon \geq 0$ we denote by F^ε the curvature of ∇^ε . Then for each $p \in (1, \infty)$ there exists a positive constant $K = K(p)$ such that the following hold*

$$\|\varepsilon^m \sigma_\varepsilon - \kappa(w) \sigma_0\|_{C^0} + \|\nabla^\varepsilon - \nabla^0\|_{L^{1,p}} + \|F^\varepsilon - F^0\|_{C^0} \leq K(p)\varepsilon \text{ as } \varepsilon \searrow 0.$$

Proof. Consider the covariance form $C_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in C^\infty(E \boxtimes E)$ determined as in Subsection 3.2 by the inner product on U_ε defined by the Gaussian measure γ_ε . If we identify E with E^* using the metric σ_0 we can view C_ε as a section of $E \boxtimes E^*$. As such, it coincides with the Schwartz kernel of W_ε .

The next result contains the key estimates responsible for the conclusions in Theorem 3.1. We defer its very technical proof to the next subsection.

Lemma 3.2. *Let ρ denote the injectivity radius of (M, g) . Fix a point $\mathbf{x}_0 \in M$ and normal coordinates (x^i) on the open geodesic ball $B_\rho(\mathbf{x}_0)$ centered at $\mathbf{x}_0$. Fix a trivialization of E over $B_\rho(\mathbf{x}_0)$ obtained by ∇^0 -parallel transport along the geodesic rays starting at $\mathbf{x}_0$. Then the following hold.*

(a) *There exist constants $K, \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that*

$$|C_\varepsilon(x, x) - \kappa(w)\varepsilon^{-m} \mathbb{1}_{E_x}| \leq K\varepsilon^{2-m}, \quad \forall \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0, \quad \forall x \in B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0). \quad (3.15)$$

(b) *For $1 \leq i \leq m$ the limits*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^m \nabla_{x^i}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y}, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^m \nabla_{y^i}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y} \quad (3.16)$$

exist uniformly in $x \in B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0)$ and the rate of convergence in $C^0(B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0))$ is $O(\varepsilon)$. Moreover

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^m \nabla_{x^i}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y=\mathbf{x}_0} = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

(c) *For $1 \leq i \neq j \leq m$ the limits*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^m \nabla_{x^i x^j}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y}, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^m \nabla_{y^i}^0 \nabla_{y^j}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y}, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^m \nabla_{x^i}^0 \nabla_{y^j}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y} \quad (3.18)$$

exist uniformly in $x \in B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0)$ and the rate of convergence in $C^0(B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0))$ is $O(\varepsilon)$.

(d) *For $1 \leq i \leq m$ the limit*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^m \left(\nabla_{x^i}^0 \nabla_{x^i}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y} + \nabla_{y^i}^0 \nabla_{x^i}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y} \right) \quad (3.19)$$

exists uniformly in $x \in B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0)$ and the rate of convergence in $C^0(B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0))$ is $O(\varepsilon)$. $\square$

Assuming the validity of Lemma 3.2 we proceed as follows. Fix $\mathbf{x}_0 \in M$ and normal coordinates in $B_\rho(\mathbf{x}_0)$ centered at $\mathbf{x}_0$. For simplicity we write κ instead of $\kappa(w)$. We deduce from (3.15) that

$$\|\varepsilon^m \sigma_\varepsilon - \kappa \sigma_0\|_{C_0} = O(\varepsilon^2) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

In the sequel the Landau symbol O refers to the C^0 -norm on $B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0)$. Note also that (3.15) implies that

$$C_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})^{-1} = \varepsilon^m \left(\kappa^{-1} \mathbb{1}_{E_x} + O(\varepsilon^2) \right). \quad (3.20)$$

If we write $A^\varepsilon := \nabla^\varepsilon - \nabla^0$, then we deduce from (3.11) and (3.16) that

$$A_i^\varepsilon(x) = -\nabla_{x^i}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y} \cdot C_\varepsilon(x)^{-1} = -\varepsilon^m \nabla_{x^i}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y} \left(\kappa^{-1} \mathbb{1}_{E_x} + O(\varepsilon^2) \right)$$

has a limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ uniform in $x \in B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0)$. We set

$$\bar{A}_i(x) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} A_i^\varepsilon(x). \quad (3.21)$$

Moreover (3.17) implies

$$\bar{A}_i(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0. \quad (3.22)$$

We have

$$\|\bar{A}_i - A_i^\varepsilon\|_{C^0(B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0))} = O(\varepsilon). \quad (3.23)$$

Using (3.12) we deduce that along $B_\rho(\mathbf{x}_0)$ and for $i \neq j$ we have

$$F_{ij}^\varepsilon - F_{ij}^0 = \nabla_{x^i}^0 A_j^\varepsilon - \nabla_{x^j}^0 A_i^\varepsilon + [A_i^\varepsilon, A_j^\varepsilon].$$

From (3.23) we deduce

$$\|[A_i^\varepsilon, A_j^\varepsilon] - [\bar{A}_i, \bar{A}_j]\|_{C^0(B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0))} = O(\varepsilon). \quad (3.24)$$

To estimate $\nabla_{x^i}^0 A_j^\varepsilon(x)$ we use (3.13a) and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{x^i}^0 A_j^\varepsilon(x) &= -T_{ij}^\varepsilon(x) C_\varepsilon(x)^{-1} - \nabla_{x^j}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y} \cdot \nabla_{x^i}^0 (C_\varepsilon(x)^{-1}), \\ T_{ij}^\varepsilon(x) &= \nabla_{x^i}^0 \nabla_{x^j}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y} + \nabla_{y^i}^0 \nabla_{x^j}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y}. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate (3.21) and Lemma 3.2(b) imply that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} T_{ij}^\varepsilon(x) C_\varepsilon(x)^{-1}$$

exists uniformly in $x \in B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0)$ and the rate of convergence in $C^0(B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0))$ is $O(\varepsilon)$. Using (3.13b), (3.13c) and (3.21) we deduce that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \nabla_{x^j}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y} \cdot \nabla_{x^i}^0 (C_\varepsilon(x)^{-1}) \text{ exists uniformly in } x \in B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0),$$

and the rate of convergence in $C^0(B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0))$ is $O(\varepsilon)$. We conclude that

$$\bar{F}_{ij}(x) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F_{ij}^\varepsilon(x) \text{ exists uniformly in } x \in B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0), \quad (3.25)$$

and

$$\|\bar{F}_{ij} - F_{ij}^\varepsilon\|_{C^0(B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0))} = O(\varepsilon). \quad (3.26)$$

Observe now that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{x^i}^0 A_i^\varepsilon(x) &= -(\nabla_{x^i}^0 \nabla_{x^i}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y} + \nabla_{x^i}^0 \nabla_{x^i}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y}) \cdot C(x)^{-1} \\ &\quad - \nabla_{x^i}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y} \cdot \nabla_{x^i}^0 (C(x)^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2(c) together with (3.21) imply that the limit

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (\nabla_{x^i}^0 \nabla_{x^i}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y} + \nabla_{x^i}^0 \nabla_{x^i}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y}) \cdot C(x)^{-1}$$

exists uniformly for $x \in B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0)$ and the rate of convergence in $C^0(B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0))$ is $O(\varepsilon)$.

Finally (3.16) and (3.23) imply that

$$\|\nabla_{x^i}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y} \cdot \nabla_{x^i}^0 (C(x)^{-1})\|_{C^0(B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0))} = O(\varepsilon).$$

Hence

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \nabla_{x^i}^0 A_i^\varepsilon(x) \text{ exists uniformly in } x \in B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0), \quad (3.27)$$

and the rate of convergence in $C^0(B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0))$ is $O(\varepsilon)$.

The connection ∇^0 defines a first order elliptic (Hodge) operator

$$\mathcal{H} : \Omega^\bullet(\text{End}(E)) \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(\text{End}(E)), \quad \mathcal{H} = d^{\nabla^0} + (d^{\nabla^0})^*.$$

Since $A^\varepsilon(x)$ converges uniformly on $B_{\rho/2}(x)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we deduce from (3.25) and (3.27) that $\mathcal{H}A^\varepsilon(x)$ converges uniformly on $B_{\rho/2}(x)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Invoking elliptic L^p -estimates we deduce that for any $p \in (1, \infty)$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$ we have

$$\|A^{\varepsilon_1} - A^{\varepsilon_2}\|_{L^{1,p}(B_{\rho/4}(\mathbf{x}_0))} \leq C \left(\|A^{\varepsilon_1} - A^{\varepsilon_2}\|_{L^p(B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0))} + \|\mathcal{H}A^{\varepsilon_1} - \mathcal{H}A^{\varepsilon_2}\|_{L^p(B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0))} \right).$$

The right-hand side of the above inequality goes to 0 as $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$ so

$$\lim_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} \|A^{\varepsilon_1} - A^{\varepsilon_2}\|_{L^{1,p}(B_{\rho/4}(\mathbf{x}_0))} = 0.$$

This proves that as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the 1-forms $A^\varepsilon(x)$ converge in the $L^{1,p}$ -norm on $B_{\rho/4}(\mathbf{x}_0)$. Since these forms converge uniformly to $\bar{A}$ on this ball we deduce that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|A^\varepsilon - \bar{A}\|_{L^{1,p}(B_{\rho/4}(\mathbf{x}_0))} = 0.$$

Since M is compact we conclude that exists a globally defined $\text{End}(E)$ -valued 1-form

$$\bar{A} \in L^{1,p}(T^*M \otimes \text{End}(E))$$

such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|A^\varepsilon - \bar{A}\|_{L^{1,p}(M)} = 0, \quad \forall p \in (1, \infty).$$

Moreover the equality (3.17) shows that $\bar{A}(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0$. Since the point $\mathbf{x}_0$ was arbitrary we deduce $\bar{A} = 0$. In turn, this implies that $F^\varepsilon = F^0 + d^{\nabla^0}A^\varepsilon$ converges in $L^p(M)$ to F^0 . From (3.25) we deduce that this convergence is in fact uniform. This proves Theorem 3.1 assuming the validity of Lemma 3.2. $\square$

3.4. Proof of Lemma 3.2. We rely on the techniques pioneered by L. Hörmander [22] to describe asymptotic estimates for the Schwartz kernel of W_ε as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. We follow closely the presentation in [43, XII.2]. We allow w to be an *arbitrary even Schwartz function* $w \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. We denote by C_ε^w the Schwartz kernel of $w(\varepsilon\sqrt{\Delta_0})$.

Fix a point $\mathbf{x}_0 \in M$ and normal coordinates (x^i) on $B_\rho(\mathbf{x}_0)$. We fix a local orthonormal frame (e_α) of E over this ball which is ∇^0 -synchronous of $\mathbf{x}_0$, i.e.,

$$\nabla^0 e_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0, \quad \forall \alpha. \tag{3.28}$$

We will describe another integral kernel $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^w(x, y) \in \text{Hom}(E_y \otimes \mathbb{C}, E_x \otimes \mathbb{C})$, defined for $x, y \in B_\rho(\mathbf{x}_0)$, $|x - y|$ sufficiently small, such that

$$C^w(x, y) = \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^w(x, y) + O(\varepsilon^\infty),$$

i.e.,

$$\|C_\varepsilon^w(x, y) - \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^w(x, y)\|_{C^k} = O(\varepsilon^N) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall k, N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0},$$

where the C^k -norm above refers to the C^k -norms of functions defined in a neighborhood of the diagonal in $M \times M$.

Fix a smooth $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$a(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & |t| < 1, \\ 1, & |t| > 2. \end{cases}$$

For $x \in B_\rho(\mathbf{x}_0)$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m$ we denote by $|\xi|_x$ the length of ξ as an element of T_x^*M . The approximate kernel $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^w(x, y)$ has the form [43, Chap. XII, (2.2)]

$$\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^w(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} q_\varepsilon(x, \xi) e^{i(x-y, \xi)} d\xi, \quad (3.29)$$

where for any positive integer ν we have

$$q_\varepsilon(x, \xi) = a(|\xi|_x) w(|\xi|_x) c_0(x, \xi) + a(|\xi|_x) \sum_{j=1}^{2\nu} \varepsilon^j w^{(j)}(\varepsilon|\xi|_x) c_j(x, \xi) + R_\nu^\varepsilon(\varepsilon, x, \xi), \quad (3.30)$$

and, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, the remainder $R_\nu^\varepsilon(x, \xi)$ is a classical symbol of order $\leq -\nu - 1$ and the family $(R_\nu^\varepsilon(x, \xi))_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)}$ is bounded in the space of such symbols.

Moreover, $c_0(x, \xi) = \mathbb{1}_{E_x}$, each of the terms $c_j(x, \xi)$ is independent of w , and it has an asymptotic expansion as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$

$$c_j(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{k \leq [j/2]} c_{jk}(x, \xi),$$

where $c_{jk}(x, \xi)$ is homogeneous of order k in ξ .

Sublemma 3.3. *Suppose that $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and*

$$c : B_\rho(\mathbf{x}_0) \times (\mathbb{R}^m \setminus 0) \rightarrow \text{End}(E_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}), \quad (x, \xi) \mapsto c(x, \xi),$$

is a smooth function homogeneous of order $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We set

$$L_\varepsilon[\phi, c(x)] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} a(|\xi|_x) \phi(\varepsilon|\xi|_x) c(x, \xi) d\xi, \quad (3.31)$$

$$\hat{c}(x) = \int_{|\xi|_x=1} c(x, \xi) d\xi.$$

Then the following hold.

(i) *If $k \leq -m - 1$, then*

$$|L_\varepsilon[\phi, c(x)]| = O(\|\phi\|_{C^0}).$$

(ii) *If $k = -m$, then there exist temperate distributions*

$$T_{j,m} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad j = -1, 0, 2, \dots,$$

such that as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have the asymptotic expansion

$$L_\varepsilon[\phi, c(x)] \sim \hat{c}(x) \left((\log \varepsilon) T_{-1,m}(\phi) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^j T_{j,m}(\phi) \right).$$

Moreover,

$$T_{-1,m}(\phi) = \phi(0).$$

(iii) If $k > -m$, then there exist temperate distributions

$$T_{j,k} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

such that as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have an asymptotic expansion

$$L_\varepsilon[\phi, c(x)] \sim \varepsilon^{-m-k} \hat{c}(x) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^j T_{j,m}(\phi).$$

Moreover

$$T_{0,k}(\phi) = \left(\int_0^\infty \phi(s) s^{k+m-1} ds \right).$$

Proof. Part (i) is obvious because $a(|\xi|_x) c(x, \xi)$ is integrable in ξ over $\mathbb{R}^m$ if the order k of c is $< -m$. Assume that $k \geq -m$. We set

$$\hat{c}(x) := \int_{|\xi|_x=1} c(x, \xi) d\xi.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} L_\varepsilon[\phi, c(x)] &= \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{|\xi_x|=1} c(x, t\xi_x) d\xi_x \right) a_0(t) \phi(\varepsilon t) t^{m-1} dt. \\ &= \left(\int_0^\infty a_0(t) \phi(\varepsilon t) t^{k+m-1} dt \right) \hat{c}(x) = \varepsilon^{-k-m} \left(\int_0^\infty a_0(s/\varepsilon) \phi(s) s^{k+m-1} ds \right) \hat{c}(x). \end{aligned}$$

The last 1-dimensional integral has a complete asymptotic expansion as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ described explicitly in [6, Eq.(4.4.22)]. Sublemma 3.3 follows by unraveling the details of this asymptotic expansion. $\square$

Fix two multi-indices $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ such that $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 2$. Using (3.29) we deduce that

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^w(x, y)|_{x=y} = (-1)^{|\beta|} i^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} q(x, \xi) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} q_1(x, \xi) d\xi$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(x, \xi) &= \partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \left(q(x, \xi) e^{i(x-y, \xi)} \right)_{x=y} - q(x, \xi) \left(\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta e^{i(x-y, \xi)} \right)_{x=y}. \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq \gamma < \alpha} Z_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \xi^\gamma \xi^\beta \partial_x^{\alpha-\gamma} q_\varepsilon(x, y, \xi) d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

and $Z_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$ are certain universal complex constants. Using (3.30) with $\nu = m + 2$ and Sublemma 3.3 we deduce that there exist universal temperate distributions

$$S_{\alpha, \beta}^j : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2,$$

and endomorphisms

$$\mathbf{K}_{\alpha, \beta}^j(x) : E_x \rightarrow E_x, \quad j = 0, 1, 2,$$

depending smoothly on x but independent of w such that

$$\varepsilon^m \partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^w(x, y)|_{x=y} = \varepsilon^{-|\alpha|-|\beta|} \left(\sum_{j=0}^2 \varepsilon^j S_{\alpha, \beta}^j(w) \mathbf{K}_{\alpha, \beta}^j(x) + O(\varepsilon^3) \right). \quad (3.32)$$

Moreover, since $c_0(x, \xi) = \mathbb{1}_{E_x}$ we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\alpha, \beta}^0(w) &= \int_0^\infty w(t) t^{m+|\alpha|+|\beta|-1} dt, \\ \mathbf{K}_{\alpha, \beta}^0(x) &= (-1)^{|\beta|} i^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \left(\int_{|\xi|=1} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta \right) \mathbb{1}_{E_x}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

For any Schwartz function $w \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and any $\lambda > 0$ we set

$$w_\lambda(x) = w(\lambda x).$$

Observe that $w_\lambda(\varepsilon\sqrt{\Delta_0}) = w(\lambda\varepsilon\sqrt{\Delta_0})$ so that, for fixed $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^{w_\lambda} = \mathcal{K}_{\lambda\varepsilon}^w + O(\varepsilon^\infty).$$

Using this in (3.32) we deduce that for $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 2$ and $j = 0, 1, 2$ we have

$$S_{\alpha, \beta}^j(w_\lambda) = \lambda^{-m-|\alpha|-|\beta|+j} S_{\alpha, \beta}^j(w). \quad (3.34)$$

Sublemma 3.4. (a) Let $|\alpha| + |\beta| \in \{0, 2\}$. If $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is even, then

$$S_{\alpha, \beta}^1(\phi) \mathbf{K}_{\alpha, \beta}^1(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0). \quad (3.35)$$

(b) If $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is even, then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^m \nabla_{x^i}^0 \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^\phi(x, y)|_{x=y=\mathbf{x}_0} = 0. \quad (3.36)$$

Proof. Denote by $\mathcal{S}_+(\mathbb{R})$ the space of even Schwartz functions on $\mathbb{R}$ and by $\mathcal{X}_{\alpha, \beta}$ the subspace of $\mathcal{S}_+(\mathbb{R})$ consisting of functions ϕ satisfying (3.35). Clearly $\mathcal{X}_{\alpha, \beta}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{S}_+$ so it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{X}_{\alpha, \beta}$ is dense in $\mathcal{S}_+(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to the natural locally convex topology of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. The family $\gamma_\lambda(s) = e^{-\lambda^2 s^2}$ spans a vector space dense in $\mathcal{S}_+(\mathbb{R})$; see [44, Chap. 8, Lemma 2.3]. Thus, it suffices to show that $\gamma_\lambda \in \mathcal{X}_{\alpha, \beta}$ for any $\lambda > 0$. In view of the homogeneity condition (3.34) we see that

$$\gamma_1 \in \mathcal{X}_{\alpha, \beta} \iff \gamma_\lambda \in \mathcal{X}_{\alpha, \beta}, \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

For $t > 0$ we denote by H_t the heat kernel, i.e., the Schwartz kernel of $e^{-t\Delta_0}$. Note that H_{ε^2} is the the Schwartz kernel of $\gamma_1(\varepsilon\sqrt{\Delta_0})$.

The heat kernel $H_t(x, y)$ has a rather well understood structure. We denote by $d(x, y)$ the geodesic distance between $x, y \in B_{\rho/2}(\mathbf{x}_0)$ with respect to the metric g on M . For x, y in a neighborhood of the diagonal we have an asymptotic expansion as $t \searrow 0$ (see [38, Thm. 7.15])

$$H_t(x, y) = h_t(x, y) \underbrace{\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} t^\nu \Theta_\nu(x, y)}_{=: \Theta_t(x, y)}, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad (3.37)$$

where $\Theta_k(x, y) \in \text{Hom}(E_y, E_x)$ and

$$h_t(x, y) = t^{-\frac{m}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(x, y)^2}{4t}}.$$

The asymptotic expansion (3.37) is differentiable with respect to all the variables t, x, y . Hence

$$\varepsilon^m H_{\varepsilon^2}(x, y) \sim e^{-u_\varepsilon} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{2\nu} \Theta_\nu(x, y), \quad (3.38)$$

where $u_\varepsilon := \frac{d(x,y)^2}{4\varepsilon^2}$. When $x = y$ we have $u_\varepsilon = 0$ and thus

$$\varepsilon^m H_{\varepsilon^2}(x, x) \sim \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{2\nu} \Theta_\nu(x, x).$$

This proves (3.35) in the case $\alpha = \beta = 0$ for the test function γ_1 since the expansion in the right-hand side above involves only even powers of ε .

Differentiating (3.38) we deduce

$$\varepsilon^m \nabla_{x^i}^0 H_{\varepsilon^2}(x, y) \sim -(\partial_{x^i} u_\varepsilon) e^{-u_\varepsilon} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{2\nu} \Theta_\nu(x, y) + e^{-u_\varepsilon} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{2\nu} \nabla_{x^i}^0 \Theta_\nu(x, y). \quad (3.39)$$

To compute $\varepsilon^m \nabla_{x^j}^0 \nabla_{x^i}^0 H_{\varepsilon^2}(x, y)$ when $x = y$ we will take into account that $\partial_{x^i} u_\varepsilon = 0$ when $x = y$. We deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^m \nabla_{x^j}^0 \nabla_{x^i}^0 H_{\varepsilon^2}(x, y)_{x=y} &\sim \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2} \partial_{x^j x^i}^2 d(x, y)^2|_{x=y} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{2\nu} \Theta_\nu(x, x) \\ &+ \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{2\nu} \nabla_{x^j}^0 \nabla_{x^i}^0 \Theta_\nu(x, y)_{x=y}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

This proves that $\varepsilon^{m+2} \nabla_{x^j}^0 \nabla_{x^i}^0 H_{\varepsilon^2}(x, y)_{x=y}$ has an asymptotic expansion in *even, nonnegative powers of ε* . Arguing in a similar fashion we deduce that the kernels

$$\varepsilon^{m+2} \nabla_{y^j}^0 \nabla_{y^i}^0 H_{\varepsilon^2}(x, y)_{x=y}, \quad \varepsilon^{m+2} \nabla_{y^j}^0 \nabla_{x^i}^0 H_{\varepsilon^2}(x, y)_{x=y}$$

also have asymptotic expansions in *even, nonnegative powers of ε* . We conclude that $\gamma_1 \in \mathcal{X}_{\alpha, \beta}$ if $|\alpha| + |\beta| = 2$.

Let us observe that (3.39) implies

$$\varepsilon^m \nabla_{x^i}^0 H_{\varepsilon^2}(x, y)|_{x=y} \sim \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{2\nu} \nabla_{x^i}^0 \Theta_\nu(x, y)_{x=y}.$$

We deduce that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^m \nabla_{x^i}^0 H_{\varepsilon^2}(x, y)|_{x=y} = \nabla_{x^i}^0 \Theta_0(x, y)|_{x=y}.$$

From the transport equations [38, Eq.(7.17)] we deduce that, *in normal coordinates at $\mathbf{x}_0$* , and under the synchronicity condition (3.28), we have

$$\nabla_{x^i}^0 \Theta_0(x, y)|_{x=y=\mathbf{x}_0} = 0.$$

This proves (3.36) for $\phi = \gamma_1$ and thus for any even Schwartz function ϕ . $\square$

We can now complete the proof of Lemma 3.2. Using (3.32) and (3.33) with $\alpha = \beta = 0$ and Sublemma 3.4(a) we deduce that

$$\varepsilon^m C_\varepsilon(x, x) = \kappa(w) \mathbb{1}_{E_x} + O(\varepsilon^2),$$

where we recall that

$$\kappa(w) = \left(\int_0^\infty w(t) t^{m-1} dt \right) \text{vol}(S^{m-1}).$$

For $1 \leq i \leq m$ we set

$$\alpha_i = (\delta_{i1}, \dots, \delta_{im}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m,$$

where δ_{ij} is Kronecker's delta. From (3.33) we deduce that

$$\mathbf{K}_{\alpha_j,0}^0 = -\mathbf{K}_{0,\alpha_j}^0 = \mathbf{i} \left(\int_{|\xi|=1} \xi_j \right) \mathbb{1}_{E_x} = 0.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^m \nabla_{x^i}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y} &= S_{\alpha_i,0}^1(w) \mathbf{K}_{\alpha_i,0}^1 + O(\varepsilon), \\ \varepsilon^m \nabla_{y^i}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y} &= S_{\alpha_i,0}^1(w) \mathbf{K}_{0,\alpha_i}^1 + O(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

These estimates prove (3.16). The equality (3.17) follows from (3.36).

From (3.33) we deduce that for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq m$

$$\mathbf{K}_{\alpha_i+\alpha_j,0}^0(x) = -\mathbf{K}_{\alpha_i,\alpha_j}^0(x) = \mathbf{i} \left(\int_{|\xi|=1} \xi_i \xi_j \right) \mathbb{1}_{E_x} = 0,$$

and invoking (3.35) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^m \nabla_{x^i}^0 \nabla_{x^j}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y} &= S_{\alpha_i+\alpha_j,0}^2(w) \mathbf{K}_{\alpha_i+\alpha_j,0}^2(x) + O(\varepsilon), \\ \varepsilon^m \nabla_{x^i}^0 \nabla_{y^j}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y} &= S_{\alpha_i,\alpha_j}^2(w) \mathbf{K}_{\alpha_i,\alpha_j}^2(x) + O(\varepsilon), \\ \varepsilon^m \nabla_{y^i}^0 \nabla_{y^j}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y} &= S_{0,\alpha_i+\alpha_j}^2(w) \mathbf{K}_{0,\alpha_i+\alpha_j}^2(x) + O(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

These estimates prove (3.18). Note that Sublemma 3.4 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\varepsilon^m \left(\nabla_{x^i}^0 \nabla_{x^i}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y} + \nabla_{y^i}^0 \nabla_{x^i}^0 C_\varepsilon(x, y)_{x=y} \right) \\ &= \varepsilon^{-2} \left(S_{2\alpha_i,0}^0(w) \mathbf{K}_{2\alpha_i,0}^0(x) + S_{\alpha_i,\alpha_i}^0(w) \mathbf{K}_{0,2\alpha_i}^0(x) \right) \\ &+ \left(S_{2\alpha_i,0}^2(w) \mathbf{K}_{2\alpha_i,0}^2(x) + S_{\alpha_i,\alpha_i}^2(w) \mathbf{K}_{\alpha_i,\alpha_i}^2(x) \right) + O(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

The equalities (3.33) imply that

$$S_{2\alpha_i,0}^0(w) \mathbf{K}_{2\alpha_i,0}^0(x) + S_{\alpha_i,\alpha_i}^0(w) \mathbf{K}_{\alpha_i,\alpha_i}^0(x) = 0.$$

This proves (3.19) and completes the proof of Lemma 3.2. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Adler, R.J.E. Taylor: *Random Fields and Geometry*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, 2007.
- [2] J.C. Álvarez Paiva, E. Fernandes: *Gelfand transforms and Crofton formulas*, Sel. Math., New Ser., **13**(2007), 369-390.
- [3] M.T. Anderson, J. Cheeger: *C^α -compactness for manifolds with Ricci curvature and injectivity radius bounded below*, J. Diff. Geom., **35**(1992), 265-281.
- [4] J.-M. Azaïs, M. Wschebor: *Level Sets and Extrema of Random Processes*, John Wiley & Sons, 2009.
- [5] J.-M. Bismut, W. Zhang: *An extension of a theorem by Cheeger and Müller. With and appendix by François Laudenbach*, Asterisque, **205**(1992).
- [6] N. Bleistein, R. A. Handelsman: *Asymptotic Expansions of Integrals*, Dover, 1986.
- [7] V. I. Bogachev: *Gaussian Measures*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 62, American Mathematical Society, 1998.
- [8] D. Catlin: *The Bergman kernel and a theorem of Tian*, Analysis and geometry in several complex variables (Katata, 1997), 1-23, Trends in Math., Birkhäuser, Boston, Boston MA, 1999.
- [9] S.S. Chern: *A simple intrinsic proof of the Gauss-Bonnet formula for closed Riemann manifolds*, Ann. Math, **45**(1946), p.747-752.
- [10] D. Coman, G. Marinescu: *Equidistribution results for singular metrics on line bundles*, arXiv: 1108.5163

- [11] D. Coman, X. Ma, G. Marinescu: *Equidistribution for sequences of line bundles on normal Kähler spaces*, [arXiv: 1412.8184](#).
- [12] D. Coman, G. Marinescu, V.-A. Nguyễn: *Hölder singular metrics on bigline bundles and equidistribution*, [arXiv: 1506.01727](#).
- [13] G. De Rham: *Variétés différentiables. Formes, courants, formes harmoniques*, Hermann, Paris, 1960.
- [14] T.-C. Dinh, G. Marinescu, V. Schmidt: *Equidistribution of zeros of holomorphic sections in the non-compact setting*, J. Stat. Phys. **148**(2012), 113-136, [arXiv: 1106.5244](#).
- [15] A. Edelman, E. Kostlan: *How many zeros of a random polynomial are real*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **32**(1995), 1-37.
- [16] J.D. Elworthy, Y. LeJan, X.-M. Lin: *On the Geometry of Diffusion Operators and Stochastic Flows*, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 1720, Springer Verlag, 1999.
- [17] H. Federer: *Geometric Measure Theory*, Springer Verlag, 1996.
- [18] I. M. Gelfand, M. I. Graev, Z.Ya. Shapiro: *Differential forms and integral geometry*. Funk. Anal. Prilozh. **3**(1969), 24-40, Func. Anal. Appl. **3**(1969), 101-114.
- [19] I.M. Gelfand, N.Ya. Vilenkin: *Generalized Functions*, vol. 4, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [20] A. Gray: *Tubes*, 2nd Edition, Birkhäuser, 2004.
- [21] F.R. Harvey, H.B. Lawson: *A theory of characteristic currents associated to a singular connection*, Asterisque, **223**(1993).
- [22] L. Hörmander: *On the spectral function of an elliptic operator*, Acta Math. **121**(1968), 193-218.
- [23] M. Kac: *On the average number of real roots of a random algebraic equation*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **49**(1943), 314-320 and 938.
- [24] S.G. Krantz, H.R. Parks: *Geometric Integration Theory*, Cornerstones, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2008.
- [25] A. Lerario, E. Lundberg: *Statistics on Hilbert's 16th problem*, Int. Math. Res. Notices, 2015, no.12, 4293-4321, [arXiv: 1212.3823](#).
- [26] X. Ma, G. Marinescu: *Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Bergman Kernels*, Progerss in Math. vol. 254, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.
- [27] V. Mathai, D. Quillen: *Superconnection, Thom classes and equivariant differential forms*, Topology, **25**(1986), 85-110.
- [28] M.S. Narasimhan, S. Ramanan: *Existence of universal connections*, Amer. J. Math., **83**(1961), 563-572.
- [29] F. Nazarov, M. Sodin: *On the number of nodal domains of random spherical harmonics*, Amer. Math. J., **131**(2009), 1337-1357. [arXiv: 0706.2409](#).
- [30] ———: *Asymptotic laws for the spatial distribution and the number of connected components of zero sets of Gaussian random functions*, [arXiv: 1507.02017](#).
- [31] L.I. Nicolaescu: *Lectures on the Geometry of Manifolds*, 2nd Edition, World Scientific, 2007.
- [32] ———: *The coarea formula*, preprint. <http://www3.nd.edu/~lnicolae/Coarea.pdf>.
- [33] ———: *Random Morse functions and spectral geometry*, [arXiv: 1209.0639](#).
- [34] ———: *A stochastic Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, to appear, DOI 10.1007/s00440-015-0630-z, [arXiv: 1408.5746](#).
- [35] S. Nonnenmacher, A. Voros: *Chaotic eigenfunctions in phase space*, J. Stat. Phys., **92**(1998), 431-518.
- [36] S. Peters: *Convergence of Riemannian manifolds*, Compositio Math., **62**(1987), 3-16.
- [37] S.O. Rice: *Mathematical analysis of random noise*, Bell Syst. Tech. J., **24**(1945), 45-156, <https://archive.org/details/bstj23-3-282>.
- [38] J. Roe: *Elliptic operators, topology and asymptotic methods*, 2nd Edition, CRC Press, 2001.
- [39] W.-D. Ruan: *Canonical coordinates and Bergmann metrics*, Comm. Anal. Geom., **6**(1998), 689-631.
- [40] L.A. Shepp, R.A. Vanderbei: *Complex zeros of random polynomials*, Trans. A.M.S., **347**(1995), 4365-4384.
- [41] B. Shiffman, S. Zelditch: *Distributions of zeroes of random and quantum chaotic sections of positive line bundles*, Comm. Math. Phys. **200**(1999), 661-683, [arXiv: 9803.5052](#).
- [42] M. Spivak: *A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry. Volume 5*, Publish or Perish, 1999.
- [43] M. Taylor: *Pseudodifferential Operators*, Princeton University Press, 1981.
- [44] M. Taylor: *Notes for a PDE course*, <http://www.unc.edu/math/Faculty/met/pde.html>
- [45] G. Tian: *On a set of polarized Kähler metrics*, J. Diff. Geom., **32**(1990), 99-130.

- [46] K. Uhlenbeck: *Connections with L^p -bounds on curvature*, Comm. Math. Phys., **83**(1982), 31-42.
- [47] H. Weyl: *The Classical Groups. Their Invariants and Representations*, Princeton University Press, 1939.
- [48] H. Weyl: *On the volume of tubes*, Amer. J. Math., **61**(1939), 461-472.
- [49] S. Zelditch: *Szegő kernels and a theorem of Tian*, Int. Math. Res. Notices **6**(1998), 317-331.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME, IN 46556-4618.

E-mail address: nicolaescu.1@nd.edu

URL: <http://www.nd.edu/~lnicolae/>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME, IN 46556-4618.

E-mail address: nsavale@nd.edu